BOARD OF EDUCATION

CITY OF CHICAGO

SPECIAL EDUCATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

(Zoom)

held on

November 8, 2023

STENOGRAPHIC REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had in the above-entitled cause held virtually via Zoom, commencing at 6:04 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

MS. MARY FAHEY-HUGHES, Special Education Advisory Committee Chairwoman

Reported By: Karen Fatigato, CSR

Li cense No.: 084-004072



1 (Whereupon, the following 2 proceedings commenced at 6:04 p.m.) 3 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Good evening, 4 18:04:08 welcome to the Chicago Board of Education's 5 Special Education Advisory Committee Meeting. 6 Notice of this meeting was posted on November 7 6th, 2023 or at least 48 hours before this 8 9 meeting at the James Monroe Elementary School, 10 Board -- and Board Office at One North Dearborn 18:04:25 and 42 West Madison Lobby and on WWW. CPSBOE. ORG. 11 Today is November 8th, 2023. We are holding 12 this meeting in the James Monroe Elementary 13 annex cafeteria. I am Mary Fahey Hughes. 14 18:04:49 I would also like to acknowledge the 15 16 Committee members who are here tonight. Barb 17 Cohen, Christine Palmieri, Jim Cavallero, virtually, Nicole Abreu Shepard is on my right, 18 19 Rachel Arfa, Stephanie Anderson, Frank Lally, Katherine Buitron-Vera, and we also have Amanda 18:05:13 20 21 Klemas from Equip For Equality here today. 22 Kimberly Watson Dodd is joining us virtually, 23 and Dee Fedrick is also joining us virtually. 24 I'd like to also acknowledge Board



President Jianan Shi, who, while not a member of 1 2 the Committee, has joined virtually on behalf of the Chicago Board of Education. 3 Thank you for joining us tonight. 4 The order of the meeting will be as 5 follows: Committee updates, a presentation by 6 Alma Advisory Group, Committee discussion and 7 questions and adjournment. 8 Before we begin, I would like to 9 welcome Mary Beth Patizonin (phonetic), 10 administrator in charge of James Monroe 11 12 Elementary School to say a few words. MS. PATI ZONI N: Good evening and 13 14 welcome to Monroe. I am not the principal of this fabulous school, he is on a paternity 15 leave, so I will be filling in here, like my 16 17 friend here, until the end of January. Monroe is one of the largest and oldest 18 19 neighborhood schools in Logan Square, a 20 beautiful facility, as you can see, with this 21 annex, we have a new turf field, we have new 22 playgrounds, and the main building which houses 23 3rd through 8th grade, and the annex is our primary area. 24 Monroe is fortunate enough to



18:05:47

18:05:59

18:06:28

18:06:47

1 partner with Metropolitan Family Services 2 Community and Schools to offer programming Monday through Friday as well as weekends in 3 volleyball, cross country, chess clubs, dance 4 18:07:18 If you look around you can see the 5 different organizations that meet after school 6 partnering with Beverly Arts Center, we have 7 Community School Ballet, Folk Lore de Chicago, 8 Puerto Rican Arts Alliance so we can celebrate 9 10 the heritage of the community. 18:07:37 I think that's it for me. I would like 11 12 to -- a few housekeeping items. The restrooms are at the end of this hall. If you have to 13 14 leave the meeting, you can exit through the 18:07:51 doors that you entered, door 11. And at this 15 16 point I'm going to turn it back to Mary Fahey Hughes and welcome. 17 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 18 Thank you. 19 Details for our upcoming Moving on. 18:08:06 20 Special Education Advisory Meetings will be 21 posted on WWW. CPSBOE. ORG. We also encourage you 22 to e-mail BOESEAC@CPS. EDU with my comments, 23 questions or suggestions. We really want to 24 hear from you.



Let's now proceed with public comment.

As a reminder, union representatives are allotted ten minutes to speak before public participation and any elected officials will

speak after the conclusion of public

6 participation.

that advance registration to speak at the Special Education Advisory Committee Meeting began on Monday, November 6th, 2023 at 10:00 a.m. and closed on Tuesday, November 7th, 2023 at 5:00 p.m. or until all five out of five slots for speakers or 100 slots for observers were filled, whichever occurred first. Individuals who registered to speak will have 2 minutes to comment, and I will call speakers in the order of registration.

Members of the public may submit written comments on this proposal via the Written Comments Form on the Board's website WWW. CPSBOE. ORG or mailed to One North Dearborn, Suite 950, by 5:00 p.m., Thursday, November 9th, 2023.

There are no public participants who



18:08:37

18:08:48

18:09:07

18:09:18

registered to speak so we will now proceed with the Subcommittee updates. The Subcommittee designees will give an update starting with the Culture of Special Education in CPS Subcommittee Dee Fedrick, please provide an update. You can president star 6 to unmute.

MS. FEDRICK: Can you all hear me?
MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Yes.

MS. FEDRICK: Wonderful. Again, my name is Dee Fedrick, I apologize that I couldn't be there with you all today. I am the co-chair of the Culture of Special Education Subcommittee.

In the Culture of Special Education
Subcommittee we discussed that there's often a
lack of trust between families of students with
disabilities and the District. There are also
children with disabilities who have had
exceptionally positive special educational
experiences. We want people to trust CPS and
believe that it is a good school district, but
to do that we need to name the things that
undermine the delivery of effective special
education, address what is not working and make



18:09:52

18:10:04

18:10:17

18:10:31

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

changes that will improve student and family

experience and improve student outcomes.

So we know that CPS families who have

children with disabilities have varying levels

of satisfaction with amount of quality and

delivery of the special education services their

children receive, but we have very little data

from families and caregivers of the specifics of

their levels of satisfactions across the 9

District. We want to know what is working and

11 what is not working from a parent/caregiver

12 perspective and are working out the details as

to how we will move forward to get this data, 13

and we will update you on it in the near future. 14

We want a system that uplifts and 15

honors students with disabilities exactly as 16

17 they are loud and proud. Treating students with

dignity and respect begins with acknowledging an 18

19 individual's student disability so that they may

20 get the special education services they need to

21 thrive and grow. We're looking into

22 transferring some of the language the District

23 uses when speaking about students with

24 disabilities and we'll have more on that later.



18:10:58

18:11:12

18:11:28

18:11:44

Thank you.

2

MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Thank you, Dee, for that update.

3

4 5

next update from the High School in Transition

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

I will give the next -- I will give the Subcommittee.

We identified a number of areas we'd

like to address, improve -- 1: Improved access

to high school programs. First, any parent of

an 8th grader knows the anxiety that bubbles up

at this time of year around GoCPS and the high

school application and acceptance process. When

you have a child with a disability, it is even

more complicated. I found out today at a

meeting about changes to this year's GoCPS

process that I was absolutely thrilled to hear

about. And these changes may expand

opportunities for students with disabilities for

varying high school programs across CPS.

At the same time there are still

barriers to access that are based on test

scores, and I'm not even talking about selective

enrollment. Given the learning challenges that

come with a disability, it only makes sense that



18:12:06

18:12:28

18:12:42

18:13:03

1 many of the lower scoring students may have IEPs 2 due to lower test scores. It means that a student couldn't face -- I'm sorry, given the 3 learning challenges that come with a disability, 4 18:13:33 it only makes sense that some students may have 5 an LEP. Do lower test scores mean that a 6 7 student can't be successful in a given program that meets their interests? Not necessarily. 8 9 So we're thinking about ways to expand access to 10 appropriate programs for students with 18:13:54 di sabilities. 11 12 Second, there is so much mystery around 13 High School in Transition program options for students with disabilities. It's not until you 14 18:14:07 get there that you start questioning what is 15 16 available for my child. Parents do not know 17 what's fully available. We believe that there should be more clarity around cluster high 18 19 school and transition programs like what are all 18:14:24 20 the options? Where are they? Who do they 21 serve? And what happens if I take a diploma at 22 12th grade versus attending a transition 23 program? 24

Third, parents need education on their



1 rights and what they can expect in navigating special education in CPS. What a parent needs 2 to know coming out of early intervention is very 3 different from a parent who is looking at 4 18:14:50 transition and beyond. We want to think ways to 5 develop age-specific training and other 6 7 materials that will support families on their journey with CPS. 8 9 The next update is from the Literacy 10 Subcommittee. Barb Cohen, please provide an 18:15:07 11 update. MS. COHEN: Hi, I'm Barb Cohen, I'm a 12 parent of two CPS graduates, a special education 13 policy analyst at the Legal Council for Health 14 18:15:25 Justice and the co-chair of the Literacy 15 Subcommittee. 16 17 Research suggests that 95 percent of students are capable of learning to read. 18 19 Research also indicates that as many as 20 18:15:38 20 percent of our students have specific learning 21 disabilities. These students are capable of 22 learning to read well but only with proper 23 instruction. Our subcommittee recognizes 24 literacy as a complex, expansive issue requiring



coordination among many departments and 1 2 stakeholders, but we also recognize the need to start somewhere within our purview. 3 Therefore. as our first priority, we're thinking through 4 what a marketing plan would look like to raise 18:16:04 5 awareness of specific learning disabilities 6 among general education teachers and parents 7 with a quick one-page graphic that describes the 8 characteristics of children who may have 9 10 dysl exi a. Some of these characteristics are 18:16:20 noticeable even in preschool students well 11 before they're expected to know their alphabet 12 and letter sounds. Other characteristics become 13 evident as children grow through elementary and 14 Our intention is to have our 18:16:31 middle school. 15 16 graphic posted in the office of all schools 17 where parents and teachers can both notice it. In addition, we want to include a QR 18 19 code that leads teachers and parents to 18:16:44 20 high-quality resources that help them address 21 concerns around identification, MTSS evaluation 22 and IEP support when appropriate. 23 The second idea we're thinking through 24 is a Frequently Asked Questions document for



1 parents. Many parents who have students with 2 specific learning disabilities have said that they did not get helpful information from CPS in 3 trying to get supports for their kids. This is 4 an issue of equity, transparency and education 18:17:08 5 of stakeholders. 6 7 And finally our third thought is about the viability of CPS offering extended school 8 year programming specifically focused on Wilson 9 10 instruction. Foundational skills instruction 18:17:24 for students with characteristics of dyslexia 11 12 require greater repetition and intensity in 13 instruction than schools can easily offer during 14 the school year, and an intensive 18:17:40 five-day-a-week summer program could 15 significantly improve these students' skills. 16 17 Thank you. MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 18 Thank you, Barb. 19 Now, I will give the update All right. 18:17:49 20 on the -- from the LRE Subcommittee. 21 So what we discussed with respect to 22 least restrictive environment is this, that most 23 of the concerns around LRE are related to 24 behaviors that disrupt the learning in the



Students who have destructive 1 classroom. 2 behaviors often get moved to more restrictive environments rather than being supported in 3 learning -- and learning to manage their 4 behaviors in the least restrictive environment. 18:18:16 5 There are evidence-based practices that have 6 7 been shown to improve student behavior. We are sourcing the idea that every teacher and staff 8 member could benefit from more extensive 9 10 training in behavior management of students as 18:18:31 well as direct support in the classroom. 11 12 behavior is adequately addressed, it helps the whole ecosystem of a school. 13 14 That concludes the reports. 18:18:48 Are there any questions about what we 15 16 discussed or our proposals or updates? 17 Yes, sorry, we'll get to the chief subcommittee later, yeah, right. 18 19 thoughts -- I know not everyone was in every 18:19:18 20 subcommittee except me. So may --21 UNKNOWN PERSON: May I? 22 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Yeah, go ahead. I don't know how close 23 UNKNOWN PERSON: 24 I have to be to this thing.



1 I think I just jotted down when it came to Culture of Special Education in CPS if there 2 would be capacity to maybe also in addition to 3 parent and caregivers for students that are --4 18:19:45 that it would be accessible to to also get data 5 from students. 6 7 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 0h, yes. Yeah, I think that would be great. 8 9 UNKNOWN PERSON: Yeah, just thinking 10 about how like they take the whatever, they take 18:19:54 the five essentials or whatever starting in 11 middle school, something maybe similar to that 12 experience. And then I don't know if also staff 13 14 is going to be included in -- from -- I don't 18:20:08 know, from that perspective. 15 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 16 Yeah, it's a work in progress so we can definitely discuss that 17 opti on. 18 19 All right. Any other thoughts? 18:20:24 20 MS. PALMIERI: Did we discuss as a 21 member of the culture and subcommittee culture 22 and sort of the customer service experience in CPS? 23

24

MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Please, go ahead.

1 MS. PALMIERI: Part of what the role of 2 the survey would be to find out and get more information on what the experience is of an 3 actual parent or family or guardian going 4 18:20:46 through the process. 5 On top of that we had talked about, and 6 I don't know if it was reviewed, the name 7 ODLSS --8 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 9 Yes. 10 MS. PALMIERI: -- and just talking 18:20:56 about -- so like even with our survey for the 11 12 chief, when passing it out usually the first question of many families was what is ODLSS, 13 14 But when you said, oh, this is for the 18:21:09 head of special education they knew exactly what 15 16 that is. So one of the things that we've been 17 talking about is how can we revert back to something that's more like Office of Special 18 19 Education. 18:21:18 20 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Right, I think 21 some of the things we talked about were that the 22 current terminology is not necessarily accurate 23 and we're dealing with students with 24 disabilities, and naming that actually puts more



1 direct focus on the fact that they have 2 disabilities and they have needs surrounding those disabilities. So I think that's 3 definitely in the hopper as well, more 4 discussion about that. 18:21:52 5 Any thoughts about the other proposals? 6 7 MS. BUITRON-VERA: From the Literacy Committee something that we discussed too was 8 9 making all the materials available in different 10 languages and relying on CPS marketing to make 18:22:16 easy visible, easy to read, easy to follow, easy 11 12 to access in all languages that we cover for the schools and maybe considering sharing with 13 14 principals to sharing their newsletters, as well 18:22:35 as making it available in -- for parents to 15 16 access it through social media. MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Yes. 17 I think along those lines one of the kind of overarching 18 19 things in all of these groups is that there's 18:22:56 20 kind of -- its delivery is uneven across the 21 District. Some people have tremendously 22 wonderful experiences and some do not, and 23 oftentimes it's directly related to their 24 understanding of special education in general.



1 So I think that its one thing that we really 2 want to punch up for families so that they have a roadmap from starting -- you know, from early 3 intervention through transition and beyond 4 18:23:35 because there are so many questions along the 5 way that are currently obfuscated or they're 6 so -- they're just not out in front. 7 So I think that's something that we're looking at in each 8 9 group is trying to come up with some meaningful 10 marketing, I hate to say marketing materials, 18:23:56 but materials that have that kind of easy 11 12 language and are more accessible to people. 13 Any other thoughts? May I say something, 14 MS. FEDRICK: 18:24:18 Mary? 15 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 16 PI ease. MS. FEDRICK: I know one thing we also 17 discussed real heavily yesterday in our 18 19 Subcommittee meeting is the fact that we need to have more -- what's the word I'm looking for? 18:24:28 20 21 More of a fluid conversation amongst providers, 22 such as, OTs, PTs, SECAs, classroom teachers 23 just about what's going on with the child as far 24 as the services they're getting and just a more



collaborative effort because it was shared that the SECAs do kind of put in a good knowledge of that child, but the providers sometimes skip over that and just go straight to the teacher. So just a more fluid conversation and more open dynamic between all support staff within the classroom.

18:25:00

MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Thank you, Dee.

Along those lines, another thing that came up in our conversation yesterday was how SECA training is so important because they are so directly related to giving service to our children, they often know our children the best out of anyone, and they have valuable data to contribute to the discussion of an IEP team. I know it's challenging to staff, IEP meetings, but I think there has to be -- or we should look into having SECAs have a voice at the table in support of the students and in support of best practices for what -- like in the best case scenario we want everyone there working to support the child, and I'd like to see us start from there and see what happens.

18:25:16

18:25:38

18:26:04

PI ease.



UNKNOWN PERSON: I think that that ideally would be wonderful and I think something that the schools are struggling with, myself, I'll just say what I'm struggling with, is that 18:26:41 we often have a shortage with our SECAs because there's not a lot of substitutes for SECAs. There's more available -- I know there's a substitute issue, you know, across disciplines, but that is the position they have a hard time. So even if I have a bucket of funding that I 18:26:59 allocate to sub out SECAs so that they may attend an IEP meeting or, you know, consult with a physical therapist or the speech pathologist, I won't have coverage for that person. 18:27:14 often, you know, at least if a student in my setting has a dedicated paraprofessional, we will want them to be invited to the meeting. However, if the student doesn't want to attend that they're with during that period or during 18:27:29 that time of their meeting then it's really hard to then, you know, discuss with the parent, well, they could be here but then, you know, nobody is with your student right now. So depending on, you know, the severity



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 of the reason that there's, you know, an adult 2 with them, but sometimes that just can't happen. And, you know, honestly to me in my building 3 that comes down to substitutes. And even if I 4 have the funding and I have a bucket set aside 18:27:53 5 for it, I can't even find substitutes just for 6 the people that were sick in my building, you 7 know, for that day. So I think it's a larger 8 9 issue even if the building wants to do it, it's 10 really difficult sometimes. 18:28:06 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 11 Thank you. 12 I know the Talent Office is working on 13 ways to bring in parent mentors, train them to be SECAs and then some of those SECAs are then 14 18:28:22 being brought in to get their degrees in 15 16 education, which is pretty amazing. Any other thoughts? 17 So let's talk about what we'd like to 18 19 see happen next, anything, for two minutes would 18:28:55 20 be great. MS. PALMIERI: I think one of the 21 22 things just to circle back on understanding that 23 there is vacancy issues and subbing issues with 24 We do see though with buildings who are



well covered, one of the things we talked about is in the principal newsletters that go out to administrators, some were having -- you know, sometimes the ODLSS updates are maybe at the bottom or maybe they skimmed through really quickly because there's just some much information on it, but making sure that there are ODLSS specifics newsletters going to administrators without any other information on it so it's clear. And making it clear that, yes, if you have the opportunity your paras should be attending and your SECAs should be attending IEP meetings. And, yes, if you have the opportunity, you know, we experience a lot of administrators who do not want paras communicating with the parents, they want all communication either to go through the teacher or through the administrator and that makes it really hard to collaborate and for the parents to understand some of that qualitative data of the day-to-day and it puts the SECAs in a really tough position as well. So we talked about on that area of just there's two issues. There's, one, the



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

18:29:19

18:29:32

18:29:45

18:29:57

1 availability of subs to attend and watch the But there's another issue of sort of 2 just this lockdown of communication, and I think 3 when it might -- you know, administrators might 4 think that that's the best pathway, but it makes 5 it harder for everybody in the long run. 6 7 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Thank you. Any other thoughts? 8 9 I think with respect to the SECAs that that parent collaboration is really important. 10 And, you know, sometimes it comes down to the 11 culture of a school, that's something that we 12 talked about too in our group is that if you 13 have a special ed friendly principal, things can 14 go really great at a school. 15 But sometimes 16 they're I hate to say like considered an inconvenience to have a lot of students with 17 disabilities and that's heartbreaking because 18 19 so -- I truly believe that if students with 20 disabilities needs are met, everyone's needs 21 will be met. It's a culture that supports --22 supports a holistic view of everyone living 23 together, everyone working together and that's 24 something culture-wise I think it's worth

18:30:21

18:30:43

18:30:59

18:31:26



looking at.

MS. PALMIERI: I just had one more quick thought too. I don't know where this meeting was advertised or marketed on the CPS end, if it is just on the Board of Ed web page, but we need to do better to share on the CPS social media sites. We can share, but we're not -- you know, we only have a limited amount of stakeholder involvement and I am not a marketing genius, so for meetings moving forward we want to see public comment, we want to have families here, so we'll have to figure out how to better share the information on meeting dates and times.

MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Agreed.

MS. FEDRICK: I agree with you only because the fact I've been hearing just different -- being city-wide I've been hearing different issues about just different special education teachers needing help and support and them saying, well, you know, where is the Special Education Advisory Committee, when are they meeting, I want to just have my voice and say something? But, you know, it's sad to say



18:32:06

18:32:21

18:32:36

18:32:50

but, you know, a lot of information gets passed out through Facebook. But I know that's not, you know, how people want to go about, but it is how a lot of information is spread nowadays is through Facebook. But there does need to be a bigger marketing -- not marketing but news brief on when we're meeting because our -- I mean, our colleagues are out there and their voices need to be heard as well.

MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Great.

MS. BUITRON-VERA: On that note, what Christine was saying -- what you were saying, Mary, about the disconnect between the parent trying to get to the -- no, Christine was saying the parent trying to get with the SECA but some administrators want the parent to go to them. It actually is more work to the administrators. And when you find that principal that cherishes your special needs children, your student with disabilities, when you find that principal, that principal is gold. And I am very lucky that I have three of those as a parent. And that should be highlighted also widely through CPS, especially during this time of the year where

18:33:18

18:33:34

18:33:46

18:34:05

1 the GoCPS line is -- it's like a dooming line 2 for a lot of people. And I always -- when people ask, they want to know what's the best --3 the bestest school, the bestest school is the 4 18:34:39 one that is going to meet your children's need. 5 If your kid has a hefty IEP and the needs of 6 that IEP will be fulfilled at that school, that 7 is the best school. It's not the name. 8 It's 9 not the reputation. 10 We need to start highlighting more of 18:34:53 11 those programs, those principals and those 12 communities where -- as a parent where I am 13 right now, I feel happy, which I didn't have And that is something that I feel a lot 14 18:35:13 of parents through the District have failed. 15 16 They dread IEP meetings. They dread a call from 17 the school. It shouldn't be like that, there should be open communication with the school. 18 19 We should be, like Christine suggested, making 18:35:30 20 that information available on a completely 21 separate e-mail, otherwise it doesn't get read. 22 It needs to be shared with the parents and it 23 needs to be publicized more everywhere. 24 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Thank you, Kat.



1 All right. So moving on, the last update on the Chief of ODLSS Search Subcommittee 2 will be via a presentation from Alma Advisory 3 Group. They'll share updates on the executive 4 18:36:03 search and findings from the community listening 5 sessions that were hosted in September. I will 6 7 turn it over to Alma to begin their 8 presentation. MS. FLOWERS: 9 Thank you so much, Board 10 Member Fahey Hughes. This is Sylvia Flowers 18:36:16 from the Alma Advisory Group, and it is a 11 12 pleasure to be here tonight to share with you a 13 summary of the community feedback that we recei ved. 14 18:36:33 Can everyone see the screen? 15 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 16 We've got handouts. 17 MS. FLOWERS: Great. 18 Thank you. 19 So what I'd like to do over our time 18:36:45 20 together is share a report out from our 21 community engagement efforts and then want to 22 share how that information informed the 23 leadership profile and then conclude with a 24 search update.



1 So I just want to start with reminding 2 the group of our shared purpose. This was constructed with the Office of Talent, as well 3 as with the Alma team. And our goal is to 4 recruit, screen and select the next Chief of 18:37:22 5 ODLSS for Chicago Public Schools. The Chief of 6 7 ODLSS is the lead advocate for students with disabilities served by CPS. And the Chief of 8 ODLSS works in collaboration with students, 9 10 parents, CPS departments and other stakeholders 18:37:40 to bring the highest standard of service to 11 students. 12 13 Our purpose is to lead a search process that is transparent, guided by our community and 14 18:37:54 designed to mitigate bias every step of the way. 15 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Excuse me, 16 Sylvia, could you share your screen? 17 MS. FLOWERS: Oh. 18 19 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Thank you. 18:38:10 20 MS. FLOWERS: So we created two primary 21 activities for community input, the first was 22 our community gatherings, and then the second 23 was the community survey. We scheduled four 24 in-person and virtual gatherings, and the focus



of those meetings were to really engage in small group conversations with members of the community. We created small breakout groups so that people felt free to be as candid as possible and share their lived experiences with the District and with the Office of Diverse Learners and Student Supports.

And then the second was a survey that was available for I think about a month. In that survey we received over 800 responses, and I'll share a little bit about the feedback from those -- from that survey.

In our community conversations we asked the following questions: First, we always want people to dream big. What are the one or two things that are top of mind when you think about the kind of education you want for your child in CPS? And then we want to learn about the strengths of the District and the strengths of the office that will be important for the next chief to champion and protect.

Then we get into the areas of improvement, which is typically where most people want to go first, but we like to start



18:38:51

18:39:09

18:39:28

18:39:44

with strengths and really thinking about how we attract people to this role. And then we move into the skills and experiences that most people want to see in this next leader.

So from our community engagement efforts, as I mentioned we had four meetings, and there were over 70 participants both in person and virtually across those four meetings.

and there were over 70 participants both in person and virtually across those four meetings. In the survey we saw nearly a third of our survey respondents, a little over a third, 40 percent, were central -- were CPS staff members, either Central Office employees, teachers or licensed staff or support staff. We saw about 10 percent of the respondents were either students or CPS alum. And nearly 20 percent of our respondents were residents or represented community partner organizations. And then nearly 25 percent were parents in CPS, so either parent/caregiver, a parent or caregiver of a student with an IEP or a 504 Plan or a parent of a future CPS -- a future CPS student.

When we looked at the race ethnicity across our survey respondents, we saw that the survey responses more closely represented the



23

24

29

18:40:16

18:40:38

18:40:59

18:41:24

1 city demographics. There were areas where we 2 would have wanted to see the survey more closely match the student demographics. For example, 3 the Latinx responses were slightly --4 18:42:03 significantly lower than the District student 5 demographics, and so that's an area where we 6 would have wanted to see a little bit more 7 8 representation. We also asked whether or not the 9 10 respondents self-identified as having a 18:42:24 disability, and we saw a little over 20 percent 11 12 identified as having a disability and then 13 13 percent preferred not to answer. So what did we learn? So we heard --14 18:42:44 we asked about areas of improvement, and while 15 there were some differences in the level of 16 17 priority, these four areas emerged as top priorities for parents, staff and -- across 18 19 parents and staff as well as all respondents. 18:43:06 20 Those were, you know, supporting the health and 21 well-being of staff, meeting students' social 22 and emotional needs was a top priority for 23 almost all categories, then ensuring student 24 safety while in school and then improving



1 communication between the District office, schools and families. 2 When we Looked across various 3 demographic indicators, and so here we looked at 4 18:43:41 race ethnicity as well as whether or not the 5 respondent identified as having a disability. 6 7 And then we also are showing the income level of We saw the similar -- similar 8 the respondents. 9 priorities as the previous slide, but we would add closing the achievement gap and graduation 10 18:43:59 rate between students of color and white 11 12 students as well as improving student academic 13 performance. These sort of emerged across these 14 demographics. 18:44:18 MS. ARFA: Hi, Sylvia, this is Rachel 15 16 Arfa. I just wanted to make sure as you're 17 going through the slide that you describe the different graphs. As part of disability 18 19 etiquette make slides accessible, one way to do 18:44:34 20 that is read the information on the slide for 21 people who are participating. Thank you. 22 MS. FLOWERS: Thank you. 23 So we also asked some open-ended 24 questions in our survey, and when we looked



1 across those open-ended -- that open-ended question, which was, ODLSS will be successful if 2 we accomplish these three things within the next 3 We categorized all of the 4 three years. 18:45:19 responses, and we found that 38 percent of the 5 people who completed this open-ended response 6 7 indicated that recruiting teachers, filling staff vacancies to ensure adequate staffing, 8 9 reduced class sizes, case loads and paying 10 teachers more to retain them was mentioned by 18:45:40 11 about 38 percent of respondents. 12 The next sort of highest category was listening to staff, providing supports and 13 14 professional development and creating a 18:45:57 collaborative and positive environment and 15 16 cul ture. About 36 percent of the open-ended 17 respondents were in that category. Next was improving curriculum, learning 18 19 tools, academic and life outcomes for students 18:46:14 20 in closing academic achievement gaps. About a 21 third of the -- 25 percent, a quarter of the 22 open-ended responses to that question sort of 23 fell into that category. 24 Next was ensuring adequate funding and



resources so that staff can provide students with what they need. About 16 percent of the responses were in that category.

And then lastly 15 percent of the open-ended responses were really around communication and having better communication amongst all stakeholders and improving -- in improving transparency.

The last open-ended question in the survey was what would make the ODLSS Chief selection process more inclusive? And nearly 42 percent -- approximately 42 percent of people who responded to that open-ended question said that including more stakeholders in the process, especially teachers, clinicians and support staff working directly with students, parents, service providers and members of ODLSS would make this process more inclusive. And we can talk a little bit about the search -- the search itself toward the end.

About 14 percent said that ensuring that the candidate is based on demonstrated experience, evidence of success and measurable results was really important to them and would



18:46:46

18:47:06

18:47:29

18:47:46

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

make this process more inclusive.

Twelve percent said that having more frequent and transparent communications about the selection process is important to them in ensuring a more inclusive process. And I know that we have a dedicated website -- web page for the search, and I believe after today's meeting we will be posting this report out back on the website so that people will hear what was reported.

And then lastly providing opportunities, such as, town halls, meet-and-greets where stakeholders can directly ask candidates questions and get to know them was mentioned by about 12 percent of the open-ended responses.

The next open-ended response was is there anything else you would like to share about the search and selection of the next Chief of ODLSS?

Twenty-nine percent of respondents who completed this question said that we're seeking a candidate who has deep experience as a teacher with diverse learners and specifically in



34

18:48:12

18:48:32

18:48:44

18:49:03

special education. So people specifically called out wanting someone who was an experienced special education educator.

Thirteen percent wanted a candidate who is supportive of teachers licensed and support staff. So it was a common theme among 13 percent of those open-ended responses that the candidate should be supportive of teachers and staff.

Ten percent of those responses were categorized as someone who has knowledge of the issues in Chicago Public Schools. So it was important that the candidate has strong knowledge of the issues in Chicago Public Schools.

And then lastly 7 percent of the people who completed this question said that we needed in general to have more open communication and transparency both during the search and after for the next chief. And that was similar to the previous slide as well. This concept of transparency and open communication and sharing information was a consistent theme.

Okay, next slide.



18:49:32

18:49:48

18:50:05

18:50:20

1 So the survey also asked about the 2 skills and attributes for the next chief by stakeholder group. And so what you'll see on 3 this slide are all responses -- respondents in 4 the darker blue, parents of CPS students in the 18:50:54 5 medium shade of blue, parents of a student with 6 7 an IEP or 504 Plan in the light blue, teachers or licensed staff in the dark purple and Central 8 Office staff in the lighter purple. 9 And there 10 were five skills and attributes that rose to the 18:51:16 top across all of these stakeholder groups. 11 12 The first was putting the interest of students -- putting the best interest of 13 students first in all decisions. 14 18:51:31 The next was creating an inclusive 15 environment for students and staff. 16 The next was seeking and responding to 17 feedback from students, parents and staff. 18 19 The next was being a strong, clear 18:51:46 20 communicator. 21 And then the last was being 22 collaborative and skilled at managing competing 23 pri ori ti es. 24 And you will see for different



stakeholder groups there were some that were higher than others. So, for example, for parents of a student with an IEP or 504, putting the best interest of students first, seeking and responding to feedback from students, parents and staff and then being a strong and clear communicator were the top three for parents.

For teachers, we saw putting the interest -- best interest of students first in all decisions was number one. The second was being a strong and clear communicator. And then almost tied for third was creating an inclusive environment for students and staff, as well as being collaborative and being skilled at managing competing priorities.

And then Central Office staff saw the first two ranked really high for them, which was putting the best interest of students first in all decisions and creating an inclusive environment for students and staff. The next highest was and probably tied was being a strong and clear communicator and being collaborative and skilled at managing those competing priorities.



18:52:13

18:52:33

18:52:53

18:53:11

When we looked across the other demographic indicators, we saw these top -- these six skills and attributes rise to the top. So in the dark blue bar you see all respondents. Next is the responses from people who identified as African American, Afro Caribbean or black. The light blue bar represents Hispanic American, Chicana or Latinx respondents. The dark purple bar are respondents who self-identified as having a disability. And then the light purple bar are respondents who identified as family income under \$50,000.

So what we saw across these demographic indicators were the following top six competencies or skills, putting the interest -- the best interest of students first in all decisions. Creating an inclusive environment for students and staff. Maintaining a focus on diversity, equity and inclusion. Seeking and responding feedback from students, parents and staff. Being a strong, clear communicator. And being collaborative and skilled at managing competing priorities.

What we saw particularly for those who



18:53:42

18:54:07

18:54:27

18:54:44

identified as -- self-identified as having a disability, putting the best interest of students first in all decisions was number one. And then tied for the second spot looked to be creating an inclusive environment for students and staff, as well as being a strong and clear communicator.

When we look at our respondents whose family income was less than \$50,000, we saw the top two to three skills were around maintaining a focus on diversity, equity and inclusion, seeking and responding to feedback from students, parents and staff and creating an inclusive environment for students and staff, followed by being a strong and clear communicator, and then putting the best interest of students first in all decisions.

So we took all of this information in order to develop the job profile, the leadership profile specifically. And so when we synthesize all of our conversations across the focus groups, all of the responses and the feedback survey, both on the areas for improvement, as well as the skills and responsibilities that



18:55:19

18:55:36

18:55:57

18:56:22

1 we're looking for in this next leader, we described it as this, the CPS community wants a 2 special education leader with demonstrated and 3 measurable success who puts students first and 4 understands the context of Chicago Public 5 School s. They want a leader who is able to 6 7 ensure adequate resources, staff and support for the needs of students. A leader who is able to 8 9 build, organize and develop a strong team and 10 can recruit and retain teachers and clinicians to the District. We're also looking for someone 11 who is visible and listens to understand and 12 13 acts on input from the stakeholders. And a leader who views families, staff and community 14 as assets to this work. We're looking for 15 someone who builds a culture of continuous 16 17 improvement and transparency, which includes professional development and training for staff 18 19 and building leaders. And someone who ensures 20 that programs and services are appropriately 21 resourced to meet the academic, social/emotional 22 and physical needs of diverse learners. 23 And I'll pause here to take any 24 questions before we talk about the search and

18:56:57

18:57:16

18:57:33

18:57:48



1 where we are in the search process. 2 MS. PALMIERI: I guess I have some questions or maybe comments would be better. 3 So I think that right off the bat, so 4 18:58:24 13 percent of the respondents to this survey 5 were parents and 32 percent were staff, and I 6 7 think that again it just goes back to many of the parents did not know what ODLSS was. Also, 8 I would have liked to have seen shared the 9 10 information on the town halls and the surveys 18:58:40 shared more often. I know that it was shared on 11 12 some of the CPS social media sites, but it was not as consistent as I would have liked to have 13 14 seen it. 18:58:51 The town halls that were held, I know 15 16 that, you know, it says that there were 70 17 participants, I know that one of those was the family -- the ODLSS Family Advisory Board. 18 Yes. 19 MS. FLOWERS: 18:59:04 20 MS. PALMIERI: And there was quite a 21 few family members there, probably 40, that were 22 there at the meeting for other reasons. I think 23 that that presentation was a lot about what this 24 consulting group would be doing. At the end it



was a great opportunity to get feedback from families, and I know that that particular meeting was structured in a way that there might not have been enough time. But I would have liked to see more meetings like that that were just for families to give feedback. I wish that was a better opportunity.

The other town halls, again, I think there were maybe three or four people who came to them, and I think that that's just a function of families not knowing about it. I think it's very telling that 102 staff members from Central Office filled out the survey. I think it's great, and I think it shows us that the new role for the chief, accountability is going to be a big factor. We saw a lot of turnover with management level and department level, amazing CPS people in Central Office, and we don't want to see that continue.

So I guess my comment would be on the town halls, if you found that, you know, that the high percentage of the responses were that they wanted, you know, families and stakeholders wanted involvement in the candidate selection



18:59:29

18:59:43

19:00:02

19:00:18

1 process, I think when we were talking about that 2 in my head initially it would be something similar to when you have narrowed it down to two 3 or three candidates that there would be a public 4 19:00:45 forum and that there would be an opportunity for 5 families and stakeholders just to come and 6 7 listen, you know, back and forth a meet-and-greet style similar to what our prior 8 9 ODLSS chief was doing multiple times throughout 10 the nation when she was a candidate for the 19:01:02 various roles that she was applying to. 11 12 So I guess that would just be my -- how are we responding to the findings that more 13 people are requesting to be -- stakeholders are 14 19:01:17 requesting to be a part of the final selection 15 16 process? 17 MS. FLOWERS: That is a great segue to our next slide, and then I will invite the 18 19 Office of Talent to talk about what those final 19:01:31 20 interview stages will look like. 21 Any other comments before we talk and 22 give a brief update on the search? 23 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Just something 24 that I noticed is that a lot of what people are



looking for is very much aligned with what this
Committee is -- has been discussing, so that's
encouraging.
Go ahead, Frank.

MR. LALLY: Hello. And hopefully this question will be answered with the next slide. But, you know, I'm just curious, it seems like from the surveys there's a clear picture that folks want someone who will be a champion for students and then also create a welcoming working environment for staff. And I'm curious because it seems like a few steps have already taken place to dwindle down the candidates and how I guess has that specific feedback played into the role of bringing the candidates down to the seven that we have currently.

MS. FLOWERS: Great. So I think that's an invitation to talk about the search process and where we are now.

So Alma's role in the search process is really on the recruiting and sourcing, and so we have posted the position. We are in stage 1 -- well, what you see here are the different stages of the interview process. So there's the first



19:02:06

19:02:20

19:02:34

19:02:49

round, which is the Alma screen and first round interview. The second round interview, which is conducted by a CPS Interview Committee. The third round, which is also conducted by a CPS Interview Committee. And then the finalist round. What you see here is our prediction of the number of applicants that we would get at each stage.

So for the first round we estimated that based on the minimum requirements for the job that we would get between 20 or 30 applicants for this role who met all of the qualifications. We received approximately 149 applicants as of the time I created this presentation, it might be a little higher, and then 21 of those were invited to the first round. One of the screening questions was around whether or not the person already had the Illinois license or if they felt they would be eligible for the Illinois license. So that's what takes that number from 149 to really 21 people who met that minimum requirement and were invited to a first round interview.

The first round interview is conducted



23

24

19:03:26

19:03:40

19:04:01

19:04:20

by a member of the Alma team, and we're really looking at the experience, the competencies and the alignment with the mission. The resume review is part of this, as well as a phone or virtual interview, so those are either done via phone or via Zoom. And then that initial licensure check, so making sure that they either have the Illinois license already or that they would be eligible for or willing to pursue that.

From there we recommend candidates for the second round. We discussed the 21 candidates who were interviewed, and we recommended that seven of those move forward and are invited to the next round. That interview is conducted by the CPS Interview Committee. The Alma team trained that Committee in our anti-bias training and worked with them to develop competency-based interview questions, and those interviews are in progress or are almost complete.

From there candidates will be invited to the third round of interviews where they will also receive a performance task. At this point this is when we begin to do some initial



19:04:51

19:05:07

19:05:27

19:05:46

background screening and then from there the 1 pool will be narrowed to the finalist round. 2 So we estimate there will be two to three 3 candidates who will be brought forth into the 4 final round. 19:06:16 5 That final round will include the CEO, 6 7 the Chief Education Officer and members of the Subcommittee of this Special Education Advisory 8 And I will invite the Office of 9 Committee. 10 Talent to talk a little bit more about how the 19:06:38 feedback will be incorporated in the finalist 11 12 round. CHIEF FELTON: Hi. 13 Excuse me, can you hear me? 14 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Yes. 19:06:55 Thanks, 15 16 Ben. 17 CHIEF FELTON: Hi, good to see everybody tonight. Thank you for your feedback, 18 19 for your continued engagement. You know, we're 19:07:05 20 pleased with the progress on the search. You 21 know, specifically the survey itself, and I had 22 a chance to attend some of the -- I think most 23 of the community engagement forums, we collected 24 a lot of good information from staff and



parents. We identified -- I'm getting a note that's saying my camera is off. There we go. Can you see me? Sorry about that. So we identified, you know, lots of different 19:07:32 questions from staff, from parents, we identified themes, and then we worked with Board Member Hughes and the Board Subcommittee to determine questions to be used in each round of We really worked hard to map it the screening. against the different competencies and the 19:07:50 leadership profile that we developed based on the feedback that we got from the Committee and from all of this engagement. And then we also worked with folks to -- we worked with the Board 19:08:03 Subcommittee alongside our partners at Alma to develop the performance task so that it was clearly aligned with what we were hearing from the field. So those are some of the ways that 19:08:14 we've incorporated some of the feedback from both the Committee and the community and, you know, we're pleased that, you know, we're moving forward. I mean, you know all of you have deep, deep institutional knowledge about how hard it



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 can be to fill special education positions 2 because there just really is a very limited supply of employees who, you know, are experts 3 in this field. And so I'm pleased that we 4 are -- you know, we've moved through the first 19:08:40 5 few stages. We've done, you know, while 6 7 certainly not perfectly done, you know, a fairly robust outreach to community, to ODLSS staff 8 9 and, you know, so we're pleased that we are 10 matric -- that we're sort of matriculating 19:08:56 through this process more or less on task on --11 12 at the pace that we were hoping to throughout 13 the process. I'm happy to discuss anymore but, you 14 19:09:12 know, again we're proceeding through the process 15 and working, you know, really closely with Board 16 17 Member Hughes and, you know, we're really grateful for the Subcommittee's support and 18 19 engagement along the way. 19:09:28 20 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Thank you. 21 Something I just wanted to address, 22 Christine, you mentioned that it would have been 23 nice to have a forum for people to hear from the 24 candidates, and I just wanted to say that we did



discuss doing that but one of the issues is that not all the candidates wanted it to be known that they are applying for this job because of their current employment.

MS. PALMIERI: Yeah, I think that it's actually very important, it actually says stakeholder engagement, and it says that the feedback you receive from families and stakeholders was that they wanted to be a part of the process. And if someone is interested in coming -- so because part of the role that is so important is regaining the trust of families and stakeholders after many years of the situation we've been in, I would also want to make sure that the candidate would feel comfortable coming into a town hall environment. And I don't know what that would look like, however, for other candidates in a role in a large district it is a public interview, the public is welcome to attend. There isn't interaction, but there's like a feedback survey after. And I don't know that any input from that survey goes into the decision-making process, but we have a report and graphs and a lot of data that shows that

19:09:56

19:10:09

19:10:26

19:10:42



22

23

24

1 stakeholders want involvement in this process, 2 so I would expect that stakeholders get 3 involvement in the process. CHIEF FELTON: If I can jump in on that 4 19:11:08 iust briefly. You know, it's a good point, and 5 we can, you know, take that under further 6 consideration. I do think that there are, you 7 know -- there are pluses and minuses to having a 8 9 completely public interview process. You know, Christine, I think typically we see those 10 19:11:23 types -- and I can defer to Alma on this because 11 12 they run searches nationally all the time. Almost always when we're seeing a public search 13 like that, you know, with a public round, we're 14 19:11:38 talking about a superintendent or a chief 15 16 executive officer, and so it's pretty rare that 17 you would see a chief-level candidate for any role do the public interview round. 18 But, I 19 mean, it's a fair point, it's a good point. 19:11:56 20 We've tried to make sure that we're engaging 21 both the Committee -- sorry if you can hear my 22 kids in the background. You can see, you know, 23 we worked really hard to try to build in that 24 community engagement throughout the process, but



15

12

13

14

17 18

16

19

21

20

22

23

24

we can continue to, you know, finalize our plans for the last round.

I do want to highlight that the plan is for Board Subcommittee members to have a -- an opportunity to meet the candidates and give us feedback on them. And then the other piece that's going to be really important is sort of a late addition to the slide is making sure that ODLSS staff, especially senior leadership, will also have an opportunity to connect with our candidate or candidates, our finalists, to make sure, you know, given the discussion around trust in the ODLSS Office, we thought that was really important too.

So long story short, I think there is -- there are pluses and minuses to having a completely, you know, public process. And, you know, like Board Member Hughes said, one of the minuses is that, you know, people might not want to, you know, have that public declaration of their candidacy but, you know, that's certainly something that we can continue to discuss and -- as we go forward.

Thanks.



19:12:25

19:12:37

19:12:49

19:13:00

MS. FLOWERS: One thing that I would just add just listening to your comments and feedback, you know, for a district this size, the level of participation in the in-person meetings was much lower than we would have expected as well as the survey responses. So one thing I want to do is go back and add the end counts for the open-ended responses. So while you see the percentages there, I want to make sure that we're really clear on like the number of responses that actually called that out.

Typically in a survey when there's open-ended responses, usually if you have 800, some smaller subset, actually take the time to complete the open-ended responses, so I want to make sure that we hold some of this in context with that feedback. That's my first comment.

I think the ways that we have been talking with the Office of Talent around engaging stakeholders in the interview process, there is time to make adjustments. So I do take your feedback seriously, and when we are meeting we will think about those additional ways, as



19:13:26

19:13:41

19:13:56

19:14:16

Ben mentioned, perhaps bringing in staff and other stakeholders into the process.

So the last point is on this type of search. In Alma's search experience, the -- we don't necessarily do the town hall style of interviewing even for our superintendent searches. We spend a lot of time training any interviewer that is part of an Alma search in our anti-bias training and competency-based interviewing. So we don't necessarily have an open forum type of interview style, we would work with a district in a superintendent search to decide which interview panels they would like to have at a finalist round and then we would train anyone in that interview panel, whether it's student interview panel, whether it's a parent and community interview panel, a staff interview panel, central office in our process. We would develop competency-based questions along with -- alongside them, and then those interview panels would conduct the interviews. They would submit feedback, which would be summarized by Alma and submitted to the hiring manager for consideration.



19:15:14

19:15:32

19:15:50



21

22

23

24

1 So we find that the town hall style is 2 not something that we necessarily recommend. And for a position that is a cabinet level 3 position, we rarely see the town hall style 4 19:16:26 We might see -- after there's a 5 being used. selected candidate, you might have a 6 meet-and-greet after the person is selected so 7 that they can get to know and plan for -- it 8 9 could be part of their on-boarding to have sort 10 of a meet-and-greet style plan of entry that 19:16:44 includes meeting various stakeholders in the 11 12 first -- either before they join or in the first 13 30, 60 or 90 days. 14 MS. PALMIERI: I think maybe a comment 19:17:01 would be, so we're talking about the difference 15 16 between a public interview, and I think that 17 when we look at superintendent roles throughout -- that do do this, their district is 18 19 significantly smaller. So we're talking about a 19:17:13 20 chief of special education in a district as 21 large as Chicago, I'm not necessarily saying a 22 public interview town hall but some access for 23 stakeholders between now and the final decision 24 is very important. It's been identified in the



1 data that you provided. And I'd love to learn 2 more too about like what barriers you think might be existing within CPS, on the CPS side. 3 And I think that if I'm hiring -- you know, I 4 19:17:39 understand that piece of it, however, if I am 5 serious about taking a job and I am a candidate 6 of two or three, then I am ready to make it 7 clear that I am serious about leaving my current 8 9 job and coming to another one. 10 UNKNOWN PERSON: I had a question. 19:17:53 mean, on this finalist round on the second 11 12 bullet point it does say stakeholder engagement, 13 so I guess I was curious as to what that planned 14 stakeholder engagement that you guys already 19:18:08 have on here was and what stakeholders you were 15 16 talking about? MS. FLOWERS: So we are still designing 17 the process, I think it's been mentioned. 18 19 UNKNOWN PERSON: I just wanted to ask 19:18:24 20 what that plan was. 21 So I think we're still MS. FLOWERS: 22 designing the process, as Ben mentioned, we 23 haven't gotten there yet in terms of scheduling. 24 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: I'm sorry?



1 MS. ARFA: Could you stop the share 2 screen so we can see people's faces at the screen? 3 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Can you see it? 4 19:19:00 All right. Thank you. 5 MS. PALMIERI: So like, for example, I 6 7 think you mentioned that some of the feedback you've gotten is that you want to include 8 ODLSS-based staff, which is great, and 9 10 especially like the interim chief and the deputy 19:19:11 chief in that final round. So it feels like 11 12 you're getting feedback that that as a stakeholder should be -- that should be included 13 There is data here that 14 in that finalist round. 19:19:25 says the feedback is the families should also be 15 one of the stakeholders included in the finalist 16 17 round. MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 18 Okay. Thank you. 19 Anything else? Did you want to say 19:19:42 20 something? 21 UNKNOWN PERSON: I guess I know that 22 the LSC structure is pretty unique to Chicago Public Schools, I don't have the knowledge to 23 24 know how widespread that is nationwide, but --



and I know principal is obviously a much smaller subset than the chief of ODLSS, but in that process the search -- you know, the LSC is the search committee and the interviewer and LSCs are trained and they are, you know, half parent, you know, there's a lot of parent representation there and they are parents who, yes, have received training and do all that to participate in that. And the final process as laid out by CPS for that particular thing is the finalists hold a, you know, a town hall or whatever they call it for those principals and questions -and the LSC poses questions not that are interview questions but like this is what our particular community wants to know about you, what your experience is, et cetera, after candidate statements. And the LSC is the one who ultimately decides but, you know, feedback is given, right. So I guess that's sort of like the Chicago culture like that's very special to CPS about like our leaders, like, you know, and having it be more a community-based thing. And

19:20:05

19:20:20

19:20:38

19:20:51



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

so I don't know to what level we can sort of

replicate that kind of deep community engagement on the level of a chief of ODLSS, but I do think that that's something that Chicago parents have come to expect.

MS. BUITRON-VERA: I think to what Christine had said, in the past CPS parents have received, not regarding the chief search, surveys from CPS. And I think all of us have heard from parents saying why do they send the survey if they ultimately end up making a decision that doesn't seem to be the decision that the parents -- the majority of parents voted for? If we already asked all these questions to parents and parents are excited about being part of this process, taking away some sort of participation to where the end actually it will perpetuate the distrust that exists with the connection of Central Office is once again making us do a survey where we actually don't have a say, and we're trying to break away from that.

So I would like to see that happening.

Sometimes we've all participated in town halls where there's quick information given at the



23

24

19:21:24

19:21:38

19:21:55

19:22:15

1 school level, there's not necessarily a lot of interaction, but it's very important to have the 2 face-to-face and allowing the parents to know 3 you're a stakeholder, your voice matters just as 4 much as the business people in Central Office. 19:22:46 5 So this really needs to happen and it's already 6 And we've already asked so just to 7 amplify those voices. 8 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 9 Thank you. 10 CHIEF FELTON: I'm sorry, go ahead. 19:23:02 MS. PALMIERI: And the distrust too, 11 I'm like, well, what, are they afraid they would 12 scare the candidates away? We're lovely. 13 think that if we don't give the candidates that 14 19:23:14 opportunity at the get-go, then we are already 15 backwards with trying to gain trust of families 16 17 in the District. MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Thank you very 18 19 much --19:23:22 20 CHIEF FELTON: Could I just say one 21 thi ng? Sorry. 22 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: -- for your I'm sure there will be more discussions 23 input.



24

about that.

1 MS. COHEN: Mary. MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Go ahead, Barb. 2 I didn't see you. 3 MS. COHEN: That's okay. I want to 4 19:23:34 change this subject completely. This is -- a 5 personal thing is that I don't trust a lot of 6 rhetoric that I hear in interviews, I think that 7 you can frankly get a lot of it off of Chat GPT 8 9 to find out what catch phrases people want to 10 So I am concerned about making a decision 19:23:50 on many of the things that come out during 11 And I think that a person's past 12 interviews. experience is probably the best information we 13 14 can gather about where their skills are going to 19:24:12 be going forward. 15 16 And so my question is about the people 17 with whom you're going to communicate that are colleagues of the person in their current job, 18 19 and I first want to find out how many of those 19:24:27 20 colleagues the interview team would be planning 21 to interview. And second of all, how that 22 happens if a person is interested in keeping 23 their candidacy secret. Ben, do you want me to 24 MS. FLOWERS:



answer that?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHIEF FELTON: Sure.

MS. FLOWERS: 0kay. So typically when we get to the finalist stage we do ask for So at that point candidates formal references. are advised to let their references know that they are in a search process and they can let us know who they can contact before an offer is made and who they would want us to contact after an offer is extended but, of course, the offer is pending the completion of those formal reference checks. We would typically ask for anywhere between three to five references. We typically ask for at this level of a role a current or former supervisor, a current or former direct report, a current or former peer, a current or former partner, community partner, board member, parent. Those are the four categories that we typically give our candi dates.

And, Ben, if there's anything that you want to add that would be Chicago specific that you would request of us, we'd certainly honor that as well.



62

19:24:57

19:25:22

19:25:42

19:26:01

1 CHIEF FELTON: Not specifically about 2 that but just to the conversation, I want to be perfectly clear that, you know, we deeply value 3 the opinions of the folks on the Subcommittee, 4 on the Board, you know, the parents, everyone 19:26:32 5 who has taken -- you know, ODLSS staff, 6 7 everybody who has taken the survey, you know, we're -- we are, like I said, deeply grateful 8 9 but also like we view this as an opportunity to 10 do view things differently than we have before. 19:26:51 I think, you know, look, like we're 11 12 working to sort of make sure that we can uphold the best practices of an executive search with 13 14 the feedback that we're getting here. 19:27:08 think it's appropriate for us to take some of 15 16 the feedback that we heard tonight and try to 17 implement it. At the end of the day, you know, this is a decision that the CEO and Chief 18 19 Education Officer have to make and make a 19:27:20 20 recommendation to the Board. But like the 21 feedback and the input that we've gotten here 22 tonight is valuable, and I think it's worth some 23 conversations about how to make sure that we 24 capture both parent voice and other perspectives



in the process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I would also just call out that, you know, I've been the Chief Talent Officer for coming up on a year, I've been involved in the executive searches for two, three years now, you know, the level of engagement that we've had with the survey, you know, out in the community with the Board Subcommittee, you know, every interview question, every performance task, you know, we've been in constant communication with Board Member Hughes. You know, short of the CEO search a couple of years ago, you know, we've never done anything this, you know, this collaborative and cooperative with community, and I just want to call out Board Member Hughes for her leadership on this front and really helping us think through how we amplify the voices of the people who are most impacted by this decision. So which is just to say, like, you know, I hope that you feel from the Talent Office and from CPS that like we do really deeply value the input because we're doing this in a much more inclusive way than we ever have. But there's been good feedback here



19:27:46

19:27:59

19:28:14

19:28:31

tonight, and I think there is an opportunity to both make sure that we're upholding the best executive search processes but then also continuing to get both the Subcommittee's input 19:28:55 and additional input from the folks that you guys were referring to, specifically parents, staff and frankly students too. So, you know, I've been taking notes, my team is here doing the same thing, I think there's an opportunity to continue to implement some of this feedback 19:29:09 as we finalize the last stage. And we're going to continue to be in constant communication with Board Member Hughes and the Subcommittee just to make sure that we're doing that. So thank you. 19:29:27 MS. PALMIERI: I have a question. how do we, as a group, formalize our request, if it is the CEdO and the CEO, even if it is something like the final candidates attend the ODLSS FAB meeting and simply introduce 19:29:41 themselves and we heavily structure the meeting and the opportunity for back and forth, how do we formalize our strong --MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Can I say -- Ben, did you get this as a formal request from some



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

members?

CHIEF FELTON: Yes, I can quantify this as a formal request. I think what we ought to do -- I mean, the request is noted. I think what we ought to do is -- so, first of all, we do have -- we are working on scheduling meetings with the Subcommittee right now, so that's part of the process that's already built in. I think there is opportunity to have further discussion about whether that's, you know, specifically the FAB group, specifically, you know, a group of either employees or parents or even students that can be part of this process. So, you know, I think that's a conversation we're going to have to have both with Alma and internally and then come back to the Committee.

But, you know, Christine, your preference is noted, it's good feedback for us. And I think there is a way that we can both protect the integrity of the search and then also making sure that like we're bringing in as many stakeholders as we possibly can to make it feel like an open and inclusive process.

MS. PALMIERI: What's the timeline?



19:30:10

19:30:25

19:30:45

19:30:56

Well --1 CHIEF FELTON: 2 MS. PALMIERI: Sorry, I don't know if you heard me. What's the timeline for the next 3 rounds? 4 CHIEF FELTON: So I think if we're 19:31:15 5 going to elongate it, you know, we need to have 6 7 that discussion. You know, my hope is that we can get something, you know, to the Board by --8 9 you know, ultimately have the CEO recommendation 10 to the Board, which is sort of the technical 19:31:29 process for appointing chief officers, you 11 know -- you know, I'm going to say likely in 12 13 January or February, by the January or February 14 Board Meeting. MS. PALMIERI: So that's --19:31:44 15 CHIEF FELTON: My hope is that we can 16 get it there by the January or February meeting. 17 MS. PALMIERI: So it's not December 18 19 1st, it might be January or February, so it 19:31:53 20 gives -- so if it wasn't December 1st -- if it's 21 now changed, so it's November now and it's not 22 December 1st now, it might be January 1st or 23 February 1st, that gives us the opportunity for 24 a parent FAB meeting in there.



CHIEF FELTON: Yeah, I think there's 1 2 opportunity -- you know, I think we need to have a discussion about how we would structure it, 3 but I think there's definitely an opportunity to 4 19:32:14 engage different stakeholder groups, yeah. 5 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: So it was my 6 7 understanding that we were trying to get someone in place for the December Board Meeting so we 8 9 could vote on it then. I don't know if 10 that's -- we'll have to have conversations about 19:32:29 it, but I think that's also part of the --11 12 MS. PALMIERI: I guess then my question would be when did we have the results of this 13 14 survey that showed that -- so like, for 19:32:45 example -- I'm not getting -- my feedback is 15 16 noted, however --17 MS. FEDRICK: Christine, I'm not trying to cut you off, but I also have a question. 18 19 you all hear me? 19:33:04 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: 20 Yes. 21 MS. FEDRICK: I guess my main question 22 is as a Subcommittee co-chair how are our voices 23 being heard if we don't have any just knowledge



24

of this person or just saying, oh, here's who

1 they recommended and that's it? I mean, we have 2 no knowledge of this person or anything or like what they've done, it's just like, oh, well, 3 4 this is who we're recommending and they're It's like, you know, kind of no 19:33:37 moving forward. 5 transparency yet again unless everything is kept 6 in the dark. 7 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: I appreciate your 8 9 comments, Dee. I think there are a lot of 10 competing factors that have led to the schedule 19:34:03 that's there, and I really appreciate that 11 12 you're bringing these questions up, Christine and Nicole, that's kind of why you're here. 13 I'm not sure what will happen with this issue, 14 19:34:28 but we'll have more conversations about it I'm 15 16 sure. MS. PALMIERI: When you go to the Board 17 of Ed Meeting do you give a report about the 18 19 discussion -- well, the Board of Ed Meeting will 19:34:41 20 be in the middle of December. 21 MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: Right. 22 MS. PALMIERI: We might have continued 23 discussions about how we formalize our request.



24

MEMBER FAHEY HUGHES: So that would

likely require a vote, which would probably have 1 to be on an agenda, I would think. 2 But that's -- we'll find out. 3 So I appreciate everyone's input. And 4 thank you, Alma, for your presentation. 5 Are there any other questions before we 6 wrap things up? 7 Well, thank you all for coming. 8 Okay. And I appreciate everyone's point of view and 9 10 understand the frustration and also am watching this somewhat speeded up process to hire this 11 person for ODLSS and, yeah, we'll have more 12 conversations about it. 13 So with that, thank you all for coming. 14 I am hopeful, like I have never been before, 15 that we're moving in a good direction with this 16 17 Committee and we have a lot more to do. So onward and thanks everyone for coming. 18 19 (Whereupon, these were all the 20 proceedings had at this time.) 21 22 23 24



19:35:10

19:35:28

19:36:03

STATE OF ILLINOIS 1 SS:) 2 COUNTY OF C O O K 3) 4 Karen Fatigato, being first duly sworn, 5 on oath says that she is a court reporter doing 6 business in the City of Chicago; and that she 7 reported in shorthand the proceedings of said 8 9 meeting, and that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of her shorthand notes so 10 taken as aforesaid, and contains the proceedings 11 given at said meeting. 12 13 Karen Fati 14 Karen Fatigato, CSR 15 LIC. NO. 084-004072 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24



advocate apologize 41:4 brought 32:12,17,23 33:3 beautiful 20:15 47:4 27:7 6:10 cause a.m aforesaid applicants 3:20 bubbles 1:9 5:11 Cavallero 71:11 45:7,12,14 began 8:10 able afraid application bucket 5:10 2.17 40:6,8 60:12 8:12 begins 19:10 20:5 **CEdO** above-entitled African applying build 65:17 7:18 1.9 38:6 43:11 50:3 behalf 40:9 51:23 celebrate Abreu appointing building Afro 3:2 4:9 2:18 3:22 20:3,7,9 40:19 38:6 67:11 behavior Center absolutely age-specific 13:7,10,12 appreciate buildings 4:7 8:16 69:8,11 70:4.9 behaviors 10:6 20:24 central academic 12:24 13:2,5 builds 29:11,12 36:8 37:16 agenda appropriate 31:12 32:19,20 40:21 9:10 11:22 63:15 42:12,18 54:18 59:18 helieve 40:16 70:2 acceptance ago appropriately 6:21 9:17 22:19 34:7 built 8:12 64:12 40:20 CEO Ben 66:8 access 47:16 54:1 56:22 61:24 **Buitron-Vera** 47:6 63:18 64:11 65:17 agree approximately 8:8,21 9:9 16:12,16 33:12 45:13 2:20 16:7 24:11 59:5 23:16 62:21 65:23 67:9 55:22 benefit bullet certainly Agreed area accessible 23:15 3:24 21:23 30:6 13:9 56:12 49:7 52:21 62:23 14:5 17:12 31:19 business ahead best cetera areas accomplish 18:13,19,20 22:5 25:3 13:22 14:24 44:4 60:10 8:7 28:22 30:1,15,17 60:5 71:7 58:16 32:3 25:8 36:13 37:4,9,18 61:2 39:23 Chairwoman accountability aligned Arfa 38:16 39:2.16 61:13 \mathbf{C} 1:15 42:15 44:1 48:17 2:19 31:15,16 57:1 63:13 65:2 challenges C accurate alignment Arts bestest 8:23 9:4 71:3 15:22 46:3 4:7,9 25:4,4 challenging cabinet achievement Alliance aside Beth 18:16 55:3 31:10 32:20 3:10 20:5 champion cafeteria acknowledge allocate better 28:21 44:9 asked 2:15,24 2:14 11:24 28:13 30:9,15 23:6,13 33:6 41:3 42:7 19:11 chance call acknowledging allotted 31:23 36:1 59:13 Beverly 47:22 5:16 25:16 58:12 64:2 7:18 60.7 5:3 4:7 change activities 64:15 allowing beyond assets 61:5 called 27:21 40:15 10:5 17:4 60:3 changed 35:2 53:11 acts Alma attend bias 67:21 40:13 camera 3:7 26:3.7.11 27:4 45:1 19:12.18 22:1 47:22 27:15 changes 48:2 actual 46:1,16 48:15 51:11 50:20 65:18 7:1 8:15,17 big candid 15:4 28:15 42:16 54:8,23 66:15 70:5 attending characteristics 28:4 add 9:22 21:12,13 bigger Alma's 11:9.10.13 12:11 candidacy 31:10 53:2.7 62:22 44:20 54:4 attract 24:6 charge 52:21 61:23 addition alongside bit 29.2 3:11 11:18 14:3 52:8 candidate 48:15 54:20 attributes 28:11 30:7 33:19 47:10 Chat 33:22 34:23 35:4,8,13 additional alphabet 36:2,10 38:3 black 61:8 42:24 43:10 50:15 53:24 65:5 11:12 availability 38:6 check 51:17 52:11 55:6 address alum 22:1 blue 56:6 58:17 46:7 6:24 8:8 11:20 49:21 36:5,6,7 38:4,7 checks 29:15 available addressed candidates 9:16,17 16:9,15 19:7 Amanda board 62:12 34:14 43:4 44:13,15 13:12 1:1,13 2:5,10,10.24 3:3 cherishes 2:20 25:20 28:9 46:10.12.21 47:4 adequate awareness 23:5 26:9 41:18 48:6 24:18 amazing 32:8,24 40:7 49:24 50:2,18 52:5 20:16 42:17 48:7.14 49:16 52:4 11:6 chess 52:11 60:13,14 62:5 adequately American 52:18 62:18 63:5,20 4:4 62:20 65:18 13:12 64:8,11,15 65:13 Chicago 38:6.7 R capable adjournment 1:2 2:5 3:3 4:8 27:6 amount 67:8,10,14 68:8 3:8 back 10:18,21 35:12,14 40:5 55:21 7:5 23:8 69:17,19 4:16 15:17 20:22 34:8 capacity adjustments amplify Board's 57:22 58:21 59:3 41:7 43:7 53:7 65:21 53:22 14:3 60:8 64:17 62:22 71:7 66:16 capture administrator BOESEAC@CPS.E... Chicana analyst background 63:24 3:11 21:18 10:14 4:22 38:8 47:1 51:22 caregiver administrators Anderson bottom chief backwards 29:19 21:3,9,15 22:4 24:16 13:17 15:12 26:2 27:5 2:19 21:5 60.16 caregivers 24:17 27:6,8 28:21 33:10 annex break Ballet 7:8 14:4 adult 2:14 3:21,23 59.21 34:19 35:20 36:2 Caribbean 4:8 20:1 42:15 43:9 47:7,13 answer breakout bar 38:6 advance 47:17 51:4,15 55:20 30:13 62:1 28.3 38:4,7,9,11 case 5:8 answered brief 57:10,11 58:2 59:2,7 18:20 32:9 advertised Barb 24:6 43:22 60:10,20 62:2 63:1 44:6 2:16 10:10,12 12:18 catch 23.4 anti-bias briefly 63:18 64:3 66:2 67:1 61:9 advised 61:2 46:17 54:9 51:5 67:5.11.16 68:1 barriers categories 62:6 anxiety bring chief-level 30:23 62:19 8:21 56:2 Advisorv 20:13 27:11 8:10 51:17 1:3,15 2:6 3:7 4:20 5:9 based categorized anymore child 8:21 33:22 45:10 48:11 bringing 32:4 35:11 23:22 26:3,11 41:18 49:14 44:15 54:1 66:21 69:12 8:13 9:16 17:23 18:3 47:8 bat category



6:4,20 7:3 8:19 10:2,8 18:22 28:17 2:2 conduct 63:18 64:19 63:10 10:13 12:3,8 14:2,23 children commencing 54:21 decision-making difficult 6:18 7:4,7 11:9,14 1:10 conducted 16:10 23:4,6 24:23 50:23 20:10 18:13,13 24:19 45:3,4,24 46:15 27:8,10 28:18 29:11 decisions comment dignity 5:1,16 23:11 42:20 36:14 37:10,19 38:17 children's connect 29:15,18,21,21 36:5 7:18 53:18 55:14 52:10 40:2 41:12 42:18 39:3,17 diploma 25:5 Christine connection comments 45:3,4 46:15 56:3,3 declaration 9:21 2:17 24:12,14 25:19 4:22 5:19,20 41:3 59:18 58:10,21 59:6,8 52:20 direct 43:21 53:2 69:9 13:11 16:1 62:16 49:22 51:10 59:6 consideration 64:21 dedicated 66:17 68:17 69:12 committee 51:7 54:24 create 19:16 34:6 direction 1:3,15 2:6,16 3:2,6,7 considered circle 44:10 Dee 70:16 2:23 6:5.10 8:2 18:8 5:9 16:8 23:22 44:2 directly 20:22 22:16 created 45:3,5 46:15,16 47:9 considering 27:20 28:3 45:14 16:23 18:12 33:16 city 69:9 1:2 30:1 71:7 48:12,21 51:21 58:4 creating 34.13 16.13 deep 34:23 48:23,24 59:1 city-wide 66:16 70:17 consistent 32:14 36:15 37:12,19 disabilities 6:17,18 7:4,16,24 8:18 23:18 35:23 41:13 38:17 39:5,13 common deeply 63:3,8 64:22 clarity 9:11,14 10:21 11:6 35:6 constant cross communicate 64:10 65:12 12:2 15:24 16:2,3 9:18 4:4 defer CSR 22:18,20 24:20 27:8 constructed 51:11 class 61:17 32:9 communicating 27:3 1:23 71:15 definitely disability 14:17 16:4 68:4 7:19 8:13.24 9:4 30:11 consult classroom 21:16 culture 13:1,11 17:22 18:7 communication 19:12 6:4,12,14 14:2,21,21 degrees 30:12 31:6,18 38:10 21:17 22:3 25:18 31:1 clear consulting 22:12.21 32:16 40:16 20:15 39:2 21:10,10 36:19 37:6,11 33:6,6 35:18,22 disciplines 41:24 58:21 delivery 37:22 38:21 39:6,15 64:10 65:12 culture-wise 6:23 7:6 16:20 19:8 contact 44:8 53:10 56:8 63:3 communications demographic disconnect 62:8.9 22:24 clearly 34:3 contains curious 31:4 38:2,13 24:13 communicator 44:7,11 56:13 demographics discuss 48:17 71:11 14:17,20 19:21 49:14 clinicians 36:20 37:7,11,22 38:21 context current 30:1,3,6 31:14 33:15 40:10 39:7,16 40:5 53:17 15:22 50:4 56:8 61:18 demonstrated 50:1 52:22 close communities continue 62:15,15,16,17 33:22 40:3 discussed 13:23 25:12 42:19 52:1.22 65:10.12 currently department 6:15 12:21 13:16 16:8 17:18 46:11 17:6 44:16 closed community continued 42:17 4:2,8,10 26:5,13,21 47:19 69:22 curriculum departments discussing 5:11 continuing 27:14.21.22.23 28:3 32:18 11:1 27:10 closely 44:2 29:24 30:2 49:16 28:13 29:5,17 40:2 65:4 customer depending discussion closing 40:14 47:23 48:21 continuous 14:22 19:24 3:7 16:5 18:15 52:12 31:10 32:20 49:8 51:24 54:17 40:16 deputy 66:9 67:7 68:3 69:19 cut 58:15 59:1 62:17 clubs contribute 68:18 57:10 discussions 64:7,14 describe 60:23 69:23 4:4,5 18:15 cluster community-based disrupt conversation D 31:17 17:21 18:5,10 63:2 described 58:23 12:24 9.18 dance competencies co-chair 66:14 district 4:4 describes 6:11 10:15 68:22 38:15 46:2 48:10 conversations 6:17,21 7:10,22 16:21 dark code competency-based 28:2,13 39:21 63:23 11:8 25:15 28:6,19 30:5 36:8 38:4,8 69:7 11:19 46:18 54:9.19 68:10 69:15 70:13 designed 31:1 40:11 50:18 darker cooperative Cohen competing 53:3 54:12 55:18,20 27:15 36.5 2:17 10:10,12,12 61:1 36:22 37:15,23 38:23 designees 60:17 64:14 data coordination 69:10 distrust 61:4 6:3 7:7.13 14:5 18:14 collaborate complete 11:1 designing 59:17 60:11 21:20 50:24 56:1 46:20 53:16 21.19 correct 56:17,22 diverse 57:14 28:6 34:24 40:22 collaboration completed 71:10 destructive dates 22:10 27:9 32:6 34:22 35:17 Council diversity 13:1 23:13 collaborative details 38:19 39:11 completely 10:14 day 18:1 32:15 36:22 37:14 25:20 51:9 52:17 61:5 4:19 7:12 document country 20:8 63:17 37:22 38:22 64:14 completion determine 11.24 4:4 day-to-day Dodd colleagues 62:11 counts 21:21 develop complex 24:8 61:18.20 53:8 2:22 days 10:6 39:19 40:9 46:18 doing collected 10:24 COUNTY 55:13 41:24 43:9 50:1 64:22 47:23 complicated 71:3 48:16 54:19 de developed 65:8,14 71:6 color 8:14 couple 4.8 dooming 31:11 concept 64:12 48:11 dealing come 35:21 course development 25.1 15:23 8:24 9:4 17:9 43:6 59:4 32:14 40:18 concerned 62:10 door Dearborn 61:11 66:16 difference 61:10 court 4.15 2:10 5:21 comes concerns 71:6 55:15 doors December 20:4 22:11 11:21 12:23 differences cover 4:15 67:18,20,22 68:8 69:20 comfortable conclude 16:12 30:16 dread decide coverage 50:15 26:23 different 25:16.16 54:13 4:6 10:4 16:9 23:18,19 coming concludes 19:14 dream decides 10:3 50:11,15 56:9 23:19 31:18 36:24 13:14 covered 28:15 58:18 64:4 70:8,14,18 conclusion 44:23 48:4.10 68:5 due 21:1 decision commenced 5:5 **CPS** differently 9:2 55:23 59:11,11 61:10



engaging expand 41:18,18,21 12:15 fulfilled duly 51:20 53:21 71:5 8:17 9:9 far 25:7 **Flowers** 26:9,10,18 27:18,20 dwindle enrollment expansive 17:23 fully 31:22 41:19 43:17 44:13 8:23 10:24 Fatigato 9:17 44:17 53:1 56:17,21 1:23 71:5,15 dvnamic ensure function expect 32:8 40:7 10:1 51:2 59:4 February 61:24 62:3 42:10 18:6 funding expected 67:13.13.17.19.23 fluid dyslexia ensures 11:10 12:11 40:19 11:12 53:6 Fedrick 17:21 18:5 19:10 20:5 32:24 experience ensuring 2:23 6:5,7,9,10 17:14 focus further 16:1 27:24 38:18 39:11 30:23 32:24 33:21 34:5 51:6 66:9 7:2 14:13,22 15:3 17:17 23:16 68:17,21 21:14 33:23 34:23 entered 39:21 future e-mail 46:2 54:4 58:16 7:14 29:21.21 26:13 28:11 36:18 37:5 4:22 25:21 4:15 focused 61:13 38:20 39:12,22 42:1 entry 12:9 early experienced 42:6 44:14 47:11,18 Folk 55:10 G 10:3 17:3 environment 48:12,20 50:8,21 4:8 easily gain 12:22 13:5 32:15 36:16 experiences 52:6 53:3,18,23 folks 12:13 60:16 44:9 48:14 63:4 65:5 37:13,20 38:17 39:5 6:20 16:22 28:5 29:3 54:22 57:7,12,15 easy gap 39:14 44:11 50:16 experts 58:18 63:14,16,21 follow 16:11,11,11,11 17:11 31:10 64:24 65:10 66:18 environments 49:3 16:11 ecosystem gaps 13:3 extended 68:15 followed 13:13 32:20 **Equality** 12:8 62:10 feel 39:15 ed gather extensive 25:13,14 50:15 64:20 following 22:14 23:5 69:18.19 61:14 2:1 28:14 38:14 Equip 13:9 66:23 education gatherings 1:1,3,15 2:6 3:3 4:20 2:21 feels follows 27:22.24 equity 57:11 3:6 5:9 6:4,12,14,24 7:6 general 12:5 38:19 39:11 fell foregoing 7:20 9:24 10:2.13 FAB 11:7 16:24 35:18 especially 32:23 71:9 11:7 12:5 14:2 15:15 65:19 66:11 67:24 genius 24:24 33:15 52:9 57:10 felt **Form** 15:19 16:24 20:16 fabulous 23:10 essentials 28:4 45:19 5:20 23:20,22 28:17 35:1 3:15 get-go 14:11 FELTON formal 35:3 40:3 47:7,8 face 60:15 47:13,17 51:4 60:10,20 62:5,11 65:24 66:3 estimate 49:1 55:20 63:19 9:3 getting 47:3 62:2 63:1 66:2 67:1 formalize **Education's** face-to-face 17:24 48:1 57:12 63:14 67:5.16 68:1 65:16,22 69:23 estimated 60:3 2:5 68:15 field 45:9 former educational Facebook 3:21 48:18 49:4 62:15,16,16,17 et 6:19 24:2.5 6:3 8:4.4 12:19 42:6 58:16 figure forth 43:22 52:5 60:14 educator faces ethnicity 23:12 43:7 47:4 65:21 62:19 69:18 35:3 57:2 29:22 31:5 fill fortunate effective facility given etiquette 49:1 3:24 8:23 9:3,7 52:12 58:19 6:23 3:20 filled forum 31:19 effort fact 59:24 71:12 43:5 49:23 54:11 evaluation 5:14 42:13 16:1 17:19 23:17 18:1 gives filling forums 11:21 efforts factor 67:20,23 evening 3:16 32:7 26:21 29:6 42:16 giving 2:4 3:13 final forward either factors 18:12 7:13 23:10 46:13 48:23 21:17 29:12,14,18 46:5 everybody 43:15,19 47:5,6 55:23 69:10 22:6 47:18 63:7 57:11 58:9 65:18 52:23 61:15 69:5 46:7 55:12 66:12 13:22 14:24 18:4 21:2 Fahey finalist evervone's found elected 2:4.14 4:16.18 6:8 8:2 21:17 22:15 24:3,16 22:20 70:4,9 45:5 47:2,11 54:14 8:14 32:5 42:21 12:18 13:22 14:7,16 28:24 44:4 48:2 evidence 56:11 57:14.16 62:4 **Foundational** 14:24 15:9.20 16:17 elementary 52:23 53:7 60:10 finalists 33:23 12:10 2:9,13 3:12 11:14 17:16 18:8 20:11 61:2.69:17 evidence-based 52:11 58:10 four 22:7 23:15 24:10 goal eligible 13:6 finalize 27:23 29:6,8 30:17 45:20 46:9 25:24 26:10,16 27:16 27:4 evident 52:1 65:11 42:9 62:18 27:19 43:23 47:15 GoCPS elongate finally Frank 11:14 8:11,15 25:1 67:6 49:20 56:24 57:4,18 2:19 44:4 exactly emerged 60:9,18,22 61:2 goes 7:16 15:15 find frankly 30:17 31:13 65:23 68:6,20 69:8 41:7 50:22 15:2 20:6 24:18,20 61:8 65:7 example emotional 69:21,24 going 30:3 37:2 57:6 68:15 55:1 61:9.19 70:3 free 30:22 FAHEY-HUGHES 4:16 14:14 15:4 17:23 exceptionally findings 28:4 employees 1:14 21:8 25:5 31:17 6:19 26:5 43:13 frequent 29:12 49:3 66:12 42:15 52:7 61:14,15 failed excited first 34:3 employment 25:15 61:17 65:11 66:14 5:14 8:9 11:4 15:12 Frequently 59:14 fair 67:6,12 50:4 **Excuse** 27:21 28:14,24 36:12 11:24 51:19 gold encourage 27:16 47:13 36:14 37:4,9,17,18 Friday 4:21 fairly 24:21 38:16 39:3,17 40:4 executive 4.3 encouraging 49:7 good 26:4 51:16 63:13 64:5 44:24 45:1,9,16,23 friend 44:3 families 2:4 3:13 6:21 18:2 65:3 45:24 49:5 53:18 3:17 6:16 7:3,8 10:7 15:13 47:17,24 51:5,19 engage existing 55:12,12 61:19 66:5 friendly 28:1 68:5 17:2 23:12 31:2 64:24 66:18 70:16 56:3 71:5 22:14 engagement 40:14 42:2,6,11,23 gotten 26:21 29:5 47:19.23 exists five front 43:6 50:8,12 57:15 56:23 57:8 63:21 5:12,12 14:11 36:10 59:18 17:7 64:16 48:13 49:19 50:7 60:16 **GPT** frustration 51:24 56:12.14 59:1 exit 62:13 family 61:8 five-day-a-week 70:10 4:14 4:1 7:1 15:4 38:11 39:9 64:6 grade



46:19,22 54:21 61:7,12 3:23 9:22 heard 2:8 income 2:21 grader 24:9 30:14 59:9 63:16 31:7 38:12 39:9 housekeeping introduce knew 8:10 67:3 68:23 4:12 inconvenience 65:19 15:15 graduates invitation hearing houses 22:17 know 23:17,18 48:17 incorporated 7:3,10 9:16 10:3 11:12 44.18 10.13 3:22 graduation heartbreaking Hughes 47:11 48:20 invite 13:19,23 14:13,15 2:4,14 4:17,18 6:8 8:2 31:10 indicated 43:18 47:9 15:7 17:3.17 18:13 22:18 graphic heavily 12:18 13:22 14:7,16 32:7 invited 18:16 19:7,8,12,15 14:24 15:9.20 16:17 indicates 11:8.16 17:18 65:20 19:17 45:16.23 46:14 19:21.22.24 20:1.3.8 graphs hefty 17:16 18:8 20:11 10:19 46:21 20:12 21:3.14 22:4 31:18 50:24 22:7 23:15 24:10 indicators 22:11 23:3,8,21,24 25:6 involved grateful 31:4 38:2.14 24:1,2,3 25:3 30:20 held 25:24 26:10.16 27:16 64:4 49:18 63:8 1:5,9 41:15 27:19 43:23 47:15 individual's involvement 34:5,14 41:8,11,15 Hello 48:7 49:17,20 52:18 7.19 23:9 42:24 51:1,3 41:16,17 42:2,21,23 great 14:8 20:20 22:15 24:10 44:5 56:24 57:4,18 60:9 Individuals issue 43:7 44:7 47:19,21 26:18 42:1,14 43:17 60:18,22 61:2 64:11 5:14 10:24 12:5 19:8 20:9 48:4,22,22,23 49:3,5 help 11:20 23:20 44:17 57:9 64:15 65:13,23 68:6 information 22:2 69:14 49:6,7,9,15,16,17 12:3 15:3 21:7,9 23:13 50:16,21 51:5,6,8,9 greater helpful 68:20 69:8,21,24 24:1,4 25:20 26:22 20:23,23 21:24 23:19 51:14,22 52:1,12,17 12:12 12:3 group helping 31:20 35:23 39:18 35:12,14 50:1 52:18,19,20,21 53:3 3:7 17:9 22:13 26:4.11 41:10 47:24 59:24 55:8 56:4 57:21.24 items 64:17 idea 27:2 28:2 36:3 41:24 helps 61:13 4:12 58:1,3,5,6,11,15,18 11:23 13:8 58:22.24 60:3 62:6.8 65:16 66:11.11 13:12 informed ideally groups heritage 26:22 63:3,5,6,7,11,17 64:3 19.2 16:19 28:3 36:11 37:1 4:10 initial 64:6,7,8,10,11,12,13 identification James 39:22 68:5 Hi 46:6,24 64:20 65:7 66:10,11 11:21 2:9.13 3:11 grow 10:12 31:15 47:13,17 initially 66:13,17 67:2,6,7,8,9 identified January 7:21 11:14 67:12,12 68:2,9 69:5 43.2 3:17 67:13,13,17,19,22 high 8:7 30:12 31:6 38:5,11 knowing guardian 8:5,9,11,19 9:13,18 input 39:1 48:1,4,6 55:24 Jianan 15:4 37:17 42:22 27:21 40:13 50:22 42:11 3:1 knowledge guess high-quality 60:23 63:21 64:22 9:6 11:22 18:15,16 Jim 41:2 42:20 43:12 44:14 11:20 65:4.5 70:4 18:2 35:11.14 48:24 19:12 21:13 25:6,7 2:17 57:23 68:23 69:2 institutional 56:13 57:21 58:20 higher 25:16 29:20 36:7 job 37:2 45:15 68:12,21 48:24 known 39:19 45:11 50:3 56:6 37:3 guided instruction highest IEPs 50:2 56:9 61:18 27:14 27:11 32:12 37:21 10:23 12:10,10,13 knows 9:1 join highlight integrity 8:10 guys Illinois 55:12 56:14 65:6 52:3 66:20 45:19.20 46:8 71:1 joined highlighted intensity impacted 3:2 H 24:23 12:12 joining lack 64:18 highlighting intensive half implement 2:22,23 3:4 6:16 25:10 12.14 jotted 63:17 65:10 laid 58:5 hire intention hall important 14:1 58:9 70:11 11:15 4:13 50:16 54:5 55:1,4 18:11 22:10 28:20 journey Lally hiring interaction 33:24 34:4 35:13 2:19 44:5 55:22 58:11 10:8 54:23 56:4 50:20 60:2 50:6,12 52:7,14 halls jump language Hispanic interest 34:12 41:10.15 42:8.21 55.24 60.2 51:4 7:22 17:12 36:12,13 37:4,9,9,18 38:7 59:23 improve Justice languages hold 38:15.16 39:2.16 handouts 7:1.2 8:8 12:16 13:7 16:10.12 10:15 53:17 58:11 interested 26:17 Improved large 50:10 61:22 holding 50:18 55:21 happen K 2:12 interests 20:2,19 60:6 69:14 improvement larger K holistic 9:8 28:23 30:15 39:23 happening 20:8 71:3 interim 22:22 59:22 40:17 largest Karen honestly 57:10 improving happens 3:18 1:23 71:5,15 internally 20:3 30:24 31:12 32:18 33:7 9:21 18:23 61:22 lastly Kat honor 66:15 33:4 34:11 35:16 happy 33:8 25:24 62:23 intervention 25:13 49:14 in-person late Katherine honors 10:3 17:4 hard 27:24 53:4 52:8 2:20 7:16 interview 19:9,20 21:19 48:9,24 include Latinx keeping 43:20 44:24 45:2,2,3,5 hope 11:18 47:6 57:8 30:4 38:8 51.23 61:22 64:20 67:7,16 45:23,24 46:5,14,15 harder included lead kept hopeful 46:18 50:19 51:9,18 14:14 57:13.16 27:7,13 22:6 69:6 53:21 54:11,13,15,16 70:15 hate includes leader kid hopefully 54:17,18,21 55:16,22 17:10 22:16 40:17 55:11 29:4 40:1,3,6,8,14 25:6 44:5 58:14 61:20,21 64:9 head including leaders kids interviewed hoping 15:15 43:2 40:19 58:22 33:14 12:4 51:22 49:12 46:12 leadership health inclusion Kimberly hopper interviewer 26:23 39:19 48:11 52:9 10:14 30:20 38:19 39:11 2:22 16:4 54:8 58:4 inclusive 64:16 hear kind interviewing hosted 4:24 6:7 8:16 34:9 33:11,18 34:1,5 36:15 leads 16:18.20 17:11 18:2 47:14 49:23 51:21 26:6 37:12.19 38:17 39:5 54:6.10 11:19 28:17 59:1 69:5,13 hours interviews 61:7,10 68:19 39:14 64:23 66:23 learn Klemas



10:7 16:9 17:10,11 28:18 30:14 56:1 45:10,22 16:14 21:2,8 35:24 57:18 61:4 62:3 look 4:5 11:5 18:17 39:8 matric minuses 70:8 learners nice 28:7 34:24 40:22 43:20 50:17 55:17 49:10 51:8 52:16,19 49:23 oldest matriculating Nicole learning 63:11 minutes 3:18 8:23 9:4 10:18,20,22 5:3,15 20:19 2:18 69:13 on-boarding looked 49:10 11:6 12:2,24 13:4,4 29:22 31:3,4,24 38:1 matters mission North 55:9 39:4 46:3 2:10 5:21 once 32:18 60:4 looking mean mitigate 59:19 leave note 7:21 10:4 17:8.20 23:1 9:6 24:7 48:23 51:19 3:16 4:14 27:15 5:7 24:11 48:1 one-page leaving 40:1,11,15 44:1 46:2 56:11 66:4 69:1 Monday noted 11:8 4:3 5:10 66:4,18 68:16 56:8 Lore meaningful onward 17:9 led Monroe 4:8 notes 70:18 69:10 2:9,13 3:11,14,18,24 65:8 71:10 lot means open 19:6 21:14 22:17 24:1 18:5 25:18 35:18,22 Legal 9.2 month notice 10:14 24:4 25:2,14 41:23 measurable 28:9 2:7 11:17 54:11 66:23 let's 42:16 43:24 47:24 33:23 40:4 noticeable open-ended move 7:13 29:2 46:13 5:1 20:18 50:24 54:7 58:6 60:1 31:23 32:1,1,6,16,22 media 11:11 61:6,8 69:9 70:17 16:16 23:7 41:12 33:5,9,13 34:16,17 letter moved noticed 13:2 49:5 medium 35:7 53:8,14,16 11:13 lots 43:24 level 48:4 36:6 moving November opinions 4:19 23:10 26:1 48:22 30:16 31:7 42:17.17 1:6 2:7.12 5:10.11.22 loud meet 63:4 53:4 55:3 58:24 59:2 7:17 4:6 25:5 40:21 52:5 69:5 70:16 67:21 opportunities meet-and-greet 60:1 62:14 64:6 love MTSS nowadays 8:18 34:12 levels 56:1 43:8 55:7,10 11:21 24:4 opportunity 7:4,9 lovely meet-and-greets multiple 21:11,14 42:1,7 43:5 number 8:7 37:10 39:3 45:7,21 LIC 52:5,10 60:15 63:9 60:13 34:13 43:9 71:16 lower meeting mystery 53:11 65:1,9,21 66:9 67:23 9:1,2,6 30:5 53:5 2:6,7,9,13 3:5 4:14 5:9 license 68:2.4 9:12 1:24 45:19,20 46:8 LRE 8:15 17:19 19:12,17 O option 12:20,23 19:20 23:4,13,23 14:18 licensed \mathbf{o} 29:13 35:5 36:8 LSC 24:7 30:21 34:7 options name 71:3,3 licensure 57:22 58:3,13,17 41:22 42:3 53:23 9:13.20 6:10,22 15:7 25:8 oath LSCs 55:11 65:19,20 67:14 46:7 order naming 71:6 life 67:17,24 68:8 69:18 3:5 5:16 39:19 58:4 15:24 obfuscated lucky 32:19 69:19 71:9.12 organizations narrowed 17:6 light 24:21 meetings 4:6 29:17 43:3 47:2 observers 36:7 38:7.10 4:20 18:16 21:13 23:10 organize nation 5:13 lighter M 25:16 28:1 29:6,8 40:9 obviously 43.10 36:9 42:5 53:5 66:6 **OTs** nationally Madison 58:1 liked 17:22 meets occurred 2:11 51:12 41:9,13 42:5 ought 9:8 mailed nationwide 5:14 limited member 66:3,5 57:24 ODLSS 5:21 23:8 49:2 2:4 3:1 4:18 6:8 8:2 outcomes main navigating 15:8,13 21:4,8 26:2 line 12:18 13:9.22 14:7 7:2 32:19 3:22 68:21 10:1 27:6,7,9 32:2 33:10 25:1,1 14:16,21,24 15:9,20 outreach 33:17 34:20 41:8.18 maintaining near lines 16:17 17:16 18:8 49:8 43:9 49:8 52:9,13 38:18 39:10 7:14 16:18 18:9 20:11 22:7 23:15 overarching majority nearly 58:2 59:2 63:6 65:19 24:10 25:24 26:10,16 listen 16:18 29:9,15,18 33:11 70:12 59:12 27:16.19 43:23 46:1 43:7 necessarily **ODLSS-based** making listening 47:15 48:7 49:17,20 9:8 15:22 54:5,10 55:2 16:9,15 21:7,10 25:19 57:9 26:5 32:13 53:2 52:18 56:24 57:4,18 46:7 52:8 59:10,19 55:21 60:1 offer p.m listens 60:9,18,22 61:2 61:10 66:21 need 4:2 12:13 62:8,10,10 1:10 2:3 5:12,22 40:12 62:18 64:11,15 65:13 6:22 7:20 9:24 11:2 offering manage pace literacy 65:23 68:6,20 69:8 17:19 23:6 24:5,8 13:4 12:8 49:12 10:9,15,24 16:7 69:21,24 management 25:5,10 33:2 67:6 office page little members 23:5 34:6 13:10 42:17 68:2 2:10 11:16 15:18 20:12 7:7 28:11 29:10 30:7 1:13 2:16 5:18 28:2 manager needed 27:3 28:6,20 29:12 Palmieri 30:11 33:19 45:15 29:11 33:17 41:21 54:24 35:17 31:1 36:9 37:16 2:17 14:20 15:1.10 47:10 42:12 47:7 52:4 66:1 managing needing 42:13.18 43:19 47:9 20:21 23:2 41:2,20 lived mentioned 36:22 37:15,23 38:22 52:13 53:20 54:18 50:5 55:14 57:6 23:20 29:6 32:10 34:15 49:22 28:5 60:11 65:15 66:24 needs 59:18 60:5 64:21 map 54:1 56:18,22 57:7 living 48:9 10:2 16:2 22:20,20 officer 67:2,15,18 68:12 22:22 mentors marketed 24:19 25:6.22.23 47:7 51:16 63:19 64:3 69:17.22 loads 20:13 23:4 30:22 40:8,22 60:6 officers panel 32.9 marketing 11:5 16:10 17:10,10 met neighborhood 67:11 54:15,16,17,18 lobby 22:20,21 45:12,22 3:19 officials panels Metropolitan 2:11 23:10 24:6,6 never 5.4 54:13,21 lockdown 64:13 70:15 paraprofessional Mary oftentimes 22:3 middle 1:14 2:14 3:10 4:16 new 16:23 19:16 11:15 14:12 69:20 Logan 17:15 24:13 61:1 3:21.21 42:14 paras oh 3:19 mind 14:7 15:14 27:18 68:24 match news 21:11,15 long 30:3 28:16 24:6 parent 69:3 22:6 52:15 minimum materials newsletters okay 8:9 10:2,4,13 14:4 15:4



| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|--|---------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 19:21 20:13 22:10 | 10:17,20 29:11,14,15 | pool | 65:3 | quarter | 69:4 |
| 24:13,15,16,22 25:12 | 29:18 30:11,13 32:5 | 47:2 | professional | 32:21 | record |
| 29:19,20 54:17 58:5 | 32:11,16,21 33:2,4 | poses | 32:14 40:18 | question | 5:7 |
| 58:6 62:18 63:24 | 33:12,12,21 34:2,15 | 58:13 | profile | 15:13 32:2,22 33:9,13 | recruit |
| 67:24 | 34:21 35:4,7,10,16 | position | 26:23 39:19,20 48:11 | 34:22 35:17 44:6 | 27:5 40:10 |
| parent/caregiver | 41:5,6 | 19:9 21:22 44:22 55:3 | program | 56:10 61:16 64:9 | recruiting |
| 7:11 29:19 | percentage | 55:4 | 9:7,13,23 12:15 | 65:15 68:12,18,21 | 32:7 44:21 |
| parents | 42:22 | positions | programming | questioning | reduced |
| 9:16,24 11:7,17,19 | percentages | 49:1 | 4:2 12:9 | 9:15 | 32:9 |
| 12:1,1 16:15 21:16 | 53:9 | positive | programs | questions | reference |
| 21:19 25:15,22 27:10 | perfectly | 6:19 32:15 | 8:9,19 9:10,19 25:11 | 3:8 4:23 11:24 13:15 | 62:12 references |
| 29:18 30:18,19 33:16 36:5,6,18 37:3,5,7 | 49:7 63:3 | possible 28:5 | 40:20 | 17:5 28:14 31:24 34:14 40:24 41:3 | 62:5,6,13 |
| 38:20 39:13 41:6,8 | performance 31:13 46:23 48:16 64:9 | possibly | progress 14:17 46:19 47:20 | 45:17 46:18 48:5.8 | referring |
| 48:1,5 58:7 59:3,6,9 | period | 66:22 | proper | 54:19 58:12,13,14 | 65:6 |
| 59:12,12,14,14 60:3 | 19:19 | posted | 10:22 | 59:14 69:12 70:6 | regaining |
| 63:5 65:6 66:12 | perpetuate | 2:7 4:21 11:16 44:22 | proposal | quick | 50:12 |
| part | 59:17 | posting | 5:19 | 11:8 23:3 59:24 | regarding |
| 15:1 31:18 43:15 46:4 | person | 34:8 | proposals | quickly | 59:7 |
| 50:9,11 54:8 55:9 | 13:21,23 14:9 19:1,14 | practices | 13:16 16:6 | 21:6 | registered |
| 59:15 66:7,13 68:11 | 29:8 45:18 55:7 | 13:6 18:20 63:13 | protect | quite | 5:15 6:1 |
| participants | 56:10,19 57:21 61:18 | prediction | 28:21 66:20 | 41:20 | registration |
| 5:24 29:7 41:17 | 61:22 68:24 69:2 | 45:6 | proud | | 5:8,17 |
| participate | 70:12 | preference | 7:17 | R | related |
| 58:8 | person's | 66:18 | provide | race | 12:23 16:23 18:12 |
| participated | 61:12 | preferred | 6:5 10:10 33:1 | 29:22 31:5 | relying |
| 59:23 participating | personal 61:6 | 30:13 | provided 56:1 | Rachel | 16:10 reminder |
| 31:21 | perspective | preschool 11:11 | providers | 2:19 31:15 | 5:2 |
| participation | 7:12 14:15 | PRESENT | 17:21 18:3 33:17 | raise | reminding |
| 5:4,6 53:4 59:16 | perspectives | 1:13 | providing | 11:5 ranked | 27:1 |
| particular | 63:24 | presentation | 32:13 34:11 | 37:17 | repetition |
| 42:2 58:10,15 | phone | 3:6 26:3,8 41:23 45:15 | PTs | rare | 12:12 |
| particularly | 46:4,6 | 70:5 | 17:22 | 51:16 | replicate |
| 38:24 | phonetic | president | public | rarely | 59:1 |
| partner | 3:10 | 3:1 6:6 | 5:1,3,5,18,24 23:11 | 55:4 | report |
| 4:1 29:17 62:17,17 | phrases | pretty | 27:6 35:12,14 40:5 | rate | 1:8 26:20 34:8 50:23 |
| partnering | 61:9 | 20:16 51:16 57:22 | 43:4 50:19,19 51:9 | 31:11 | 62:16 69:18 |
| 4:7 | physical | previous | 51:13,14,18 52:17,20 | read | reported |
| partners 48:15 | 19:13 40:22 | 31:9 35:21 | 55:16,22 57:23 publicized | 10:18,22 16:11 25:21 | 1:23 34:10 71:8 |
| passed | picture 44:8 | primary 3:24 27:20 | 25:23 | 31:20 | reporter 71:6 |
| 24:1 | piece | principal | Puerto | ready | reports |
| passing | 52:6 56:5 | 3:14 21:2 22:14 24:18 | 4:9 | 56:7 real | 13:14 |
| 15:12 | place | 24:20,21 58:1 | punch | 17:18 | representation |
| paternity | 44:13 68:8 | principals | 17:2 | really | 30:8 58:6 |
| 3:15 | plan | 16:14 25:11 58:12 | purple | 4:23 17:1 19:20 20:10 | representatives |
| pathologist | 11:5 29:20 36:7 52:3 | prior | 36:8,9 38:8,10 | 21:5,19,21 22:10,15 | 5:2 |
| 19:13 | 55:8,10 56:20 | 43:8 | purpose | 28:1 29:1 33:5,24 | represented |
| pathway | planned | priorities | 27:2,13 | 37:17 44:21 45:21 | 29:16,24 |
| 22:5 | 56:13 | 30:18 31:9 36:23 37:15 | pursue | 46:1 48:9 49:2,16,17 | represents |
| Patizonin 3:10,13 | planning 61:20 | 37:24 38:23 priority | 46:9 purview | 51:23 52:7,14 53:10 | 38:7 reputation |
| 3:10,13 pause | plans | 11:4 30:17,22 | 11:3 | 60:6 64:16,21 69:11 | 25:9 |
| 40:23 | 52:1 | probably | put | reason | request |
| paying | played | 37:21 41:21 61:13 70:1 | 18:2 | 20:1 reasons | 62:23 65:16,24 66:3,4 |
| 32:9 | 44:14 | proceed | puts | 41:22 | 69:23 |
| peer | playgrounds | 5:1 6:1 | 15:24 21:21 40:4 | receive | requesting |
| 62:16 | 3:22 | proceeding | putting | 7:7 46:23 50:8 | 43:14,15 |
| pending | please | 49:15 | 36:12,13 37:3,8,18 | received | require |
| 62:11 | 6:5 10:10 14:24 17:16 | proceedings | 38:15 39:2,16 | 26:14 28:10 45:13 58:8 | 12:12 70:1 |
| people | 18:24 | 1:8 2:2 70:20 71:8,11 | | 59:7 | requirement |
| 6:20 16:21 17:12 20:7 | pleased 47:20 48:22 49:4,9 | process 8:12,16 15:5 27:13 | QQ | recognize | 45:22 |
| 24:3 25:2,3 28:4,15 28:24 29:2,3 31:21 | - | | QR | 11:2 | requirements 45:10 |
| 32:6 33:12 34:9 35:1 | pleasure 26:12 | 33:11,14,18 34:1,4,5 41:1 43:1,16 44:18 | 11:18 | recognizes | requiring |
| 35:16 38:5 42:9,18 | pluses | 44:20,24 49:11,13,15 | qualifications | 10:23 | 10:24 |
| 43:14,24 45:22 49:23 | 51:8 52:16 | 50:10,23 51:1,3,9,24 | 45:13 qualitative | recommend 46:10 55:2 | Research |
| 52:19 60:5 61:9,16 | point | 52:17 53:21 54:2,18 | 21:20 | recommendation | 10:17,19 |
| 64:18 | 4:16 46:23 51:5,19,19 | 56:18,22 58:3,9 | quality | 63:20 67:9 | residents |
| people's | 54:3 56:12 62:5 70:9 | 59:15 62:7 64:1 66:8 | 7:5 | recommended | 29:16 |
| 57:2 | policy | 66:13,23 67:11 70:11 | quantify | 46:13 69:1 | resourced |
| percent | 10:14 | processes | 66:2 | recommending | 40:21 |
| • | • | • | i . | | |



41:11,11 resources rounds 46:11 56:11 61:21 56:24 60:10,21 67:2 50:13 51:1,2 53:21 sharing 11:20 33:1 40:7 67:4 54:2 55:11,23 56:15 secret 16:13,14 35:22 57:16 66:22 respect run 61:23 14:22 22:2 31:13 32:12 7:18 12:21 22:9 22:6 51:12 32:22 49:10 52:7 see Shepard standard 3:20 4:5 18:22,23 55:9 58:20,24 59:16 27.11 responded 2:18 33:13 20:19,24 23:11 26:15 Shi 63:12 67:10 star 29:4 30:2,7 36:3,24 respondent sounds sad 3:1 6:6 38:4 42:5,19 44:23 short 11:13 start 31:6 23:24 sourcing respondents 45:6 47:17 48:3 52:15 64:11 9:15 11:3 18:22 25:10 safety 29:10,14,16,23 30:10 51:10,17,22 53:9 shortage 13:8 44:21 27:1 28:24 30.24 30:19 31:8 32:11,17 55:4,5 57:2,4 59:22 19:5 speak starting satisfaction shorthand 6:3 14:11 17:3 34:21 36:4 38:4.8.9 61:3 5:3,5,8,15 6:1 7:5 38:11 39:8 41:5 71:8,10 STATE seeing speakers satisfactions responding showed 51:13 5:13,16 7:9 71.1 36:17 37:5 38:20 39:12 seeking 68:14 speaking statements 34:22 36:17 37:4 38:19 showing 43:13 7:23 58:17 29:9,13,23 30:11 31:8 STENOGRAPHIC response 39:12 31:7 special 37:8,16 38:2,13,24 1:3,14 2:6 4:20 5:9 6:4 32:6 34:17 seen shown 1:8 39:9 42:16 responses 41:9,14 6:12,14,19,23 7:6,20 saving 13:7 step 28:10 29:24 30:4 32:5 segue shows 10:2,13 14:2 15:15 27:15 23:21 24:12,12,14 48:2 32:22 33:3.5 34:16 42:14 50:24 15:18 16:24 22:14 Stephanie 43:17 55:21 59:9 68:24 35:7,10 36:4 38:5 select sick 23:19,22 24:19 35:1 35:3 40:3 47:8 49:1 39:22 42:22 53:6.8 27:5 20:7 steps 41:16 50:6,7 57:15 55:20 58:21 53:11,14,16 selected side 44:12 71:6 responsibilities 55:6,7 56:3 specific stop scare selection significantly 10:20 11:6 12:2 44:14 39.24 57:1 60:13 restrictive 33:11 34:4,19 42:24 12:16 30:5 55:19 62:22 story scenario specifically 12:22 13:2,5 similar 43.15 52:15 18:21 12:9 34:24 35:1 39:20 restrooms selective 14:12 31:8,8 35:20 straight schedule 8:22 43:3,8 47:21 63:1 65:6 18:4 4:12 69:10 self-identified strengths results simply 66:10,11 scheduled 33:24 68:13 30:10 38:9 39:1 65:19 specifics 28:19.19 29:1 27:23 7:8 21:8 resume send sites strong scheduling 23:7 41:12 35:13 36:19 37:6,11,21 46:3 59:9 speech 56:23 66:6 38:21 39:6.15 40:9 retain senior situation 19:13 school 32:10 40:10 52:9 50:13 speeded 65:22 2:9 3:12,15 4:6,8 6:21 revert sense six 70:11 structure 8:5,9,12,19 9:13,19 57:22 65:20 68:3 15:17 8:24 9:5 38:3,14 spend 11:15 12:8,14 13:13 review separate size 54:7 structured 14:12 22:12,15 25:4 53:3 46:4 25:21 spot 42:3 25:4,7,8,17,18 30:24 September struggling reviewed sizes 39:4 60:1 19:3,4 32.9 15.7 26:6 spread schools rhetoric serious skilled 24:4 student 3:19 4:2 11:16 12:13 36:22 37:14,23 38:22 7:1.2.19 9:3.7 13:7 61:7 56:6.8 Square 16:13 19:3 27:6 31:2 Rican seriously skills 3:19 19:15,18,23 22:2 35:12,15 40:6 57:23 4:9 53:23 12:10.16 29:3 36:2.10 SS 24:19 28:7 29:20.21 scores 38:3,15 39:10,24 30:3,5,23 31:12 36:6 right serve 71:2 8:22 9:2.6 2:18 12:19 13:18 14:19 61:14 37:3 54:16 9:21 staff scoring 15:14.20 19:23 25:13 served skimmed 13:8 14:13 18:6.16 students 9:1 26:1 41:4 57:5 58:19 29:11,13,13 30:18,19 6:16 7:16,17,23 8:18 27:8 21:5 screen 66:7 69:21 30:21 32:8,13 33:1 9:1,5,10,14 10:18,20 service skip 26:15 27:5,17 45:1 14:22 18:12 27:11 rights 18:3 33:16 35:6,9 36:8,9 10:21 11:11 12:1,11 57:2.3 10:1 33:17 slide 36:16,18 37:6,13,16 13:1,10 14:4,6 15:23 screening 31:9,17,20 35:21,24 18:19 22:17,19 27:7 rise services 37:20 38:18,21 39:6 45:17 47:1 48:9 38:3 4:1 7:6,20 17:24 40:20 36:4 43:18 44:6 52:8 39:13,14 40:7,14,18 27:9,12 29:15 31:11 roadmap sessions slides 41:6 42:12 44:11 31:12 32:19 33:1,16 26:2.5.24 27:13 33:19 31:19 47:24 48:5 49:8 52:9 36:5,13,14,16,18 17:3 26:6 33:19 34:7,19 35:19 37:4,5,9,13,18,20 slightly 54:1.17 57:9 63:6 robust 40:24 41:1 43:22 set 49:8 20:5 30:4 65:7 38:16,18,20 39:3,5 44:18.20 47:20 51:13 setting staffing role slots 39:13.14.17 40:4.8 54:4,4,8,12 58:3,4 15:1 29:2 42:14 44:15 44:10 65:7 66:12 19:16 5:12.13 32:8 59:7 62:7 63:13 44:20 45:12 50:11,18 seven small stage students' 64:12 65:3 66:20 51:18 62:14 44:16 46:13 28:1.3 44:22 45:8 62:4 65:11 12:16 30:21 searches roles severity smaller stages style 51:12 54:7 64:5 53:15 55:19 58:1 43:20 44:23 49:6 43:11 55:17 43:8 54:5,11 55:1,4,10 19.24 SECA rose shade social stakeholder sub 18:11 24:15 36:10 16:16 23:7 30:21 41:12 23:9 36:3,11 37:1 50:7 19:11 36:6 **SECAs** round share social/emotional 56:12,14 57:13 60:4 subbing 17:22 18:2,18 19:5,6 23:6.7.13 26:4.12.20 45:1.1.2.4.6.9.17.23.24 40:21 20:23 19:11 20:14,14,24 46:11,14,22 47:2,5,6 26:22 27:17 28:5,11 somewhat stakeholders subcommittee 21:12.21 22:9 47:12 48:8 51:14,18 34:18 57:1 11:2 12:6 27:10 33:7 6:2,2,4,13,15 8:6 10:10 70:11 33:14 34:13 40:13 10:16.23 12:20 13:18 52:2 54:14 56:11 9:12 11:23 27:22 28:8 shared sorry 57:11,14,17 18:1 25:22 27:2 41:9 9:3 13:17 48:3 51:21 42:23 43:6,14 50:9 13:20 14:21 17:19 37:10 39:4 45:2



26:2 47:8 48:7,15 surveys 13:24 17:1,17 18:9 34:12 41:10,15 42:8,21 16:24 20:22 68:7 4:23 6:20 7:10,15 10:5 41:10 44:8 59:8 52:4 63:4 64:8 65:13 53:1,7 58:10,23 50:16 54:5 55:1,4,22 understands 11:18 17:2 18:21 66:7 68:22 sworn 60:21 61:6 65:9 58:11 59:23 40:5 19:17,18 21:15,16 Subcommittee's 23:11,11,23 24:3,16 71:5 things train uneven 20:13 54:15 49.18 65.4 Sylvia 6:22 15:16,21 16:19 25:3 26:21 27:1 16:20 subject 26:10 27:17 31:15 20:22 21:1 22:14 trained 28:14,17,18,24 29:4 union 46:16 58:5 40:6 42:18 44:9 28:16 32:3 61:11 61:5 synthesize 5:2 submit 39:20 63:10 70:7 training 50:14 51:1 52:3,19 unique 10:6 13:10 18:11 40:18 5:18 54:22 system think 57:22 53:7.9.16 57:8.19 submitted 7:15 4:11 10:5 14:1,8 15:20 46:17 54:7,9 58:8 UNKNOWN 61:4,9,19,24 62:9,22 16:3,17 17:1,7 18:17 13:21,23 14:9 19:1 54:23 transcript 63:2 64:15 56:10.19 57:21 19:1.2 20:8.21 22:3.5 subs T 71:10 wanted 22:9,24 28:9,16 41:4 transferring 22:1 unmute 30:2,7 31:16 35:4 table 42:23,24 49:21,24 subset 41:7.22 42:8.10.11 18:18 7.22 6:6 53:15 58:2 42:13,14 43:1 44:17 transition upcoming 50:2,9 56:19 take 8:5 9:13,19,22 10:5 substitute 47:22 50:5 51:7,10 wanting 4:19 9:21 14:10,10 40:23 19.8 52:15 53:19,24 55:14 17:4 update 35:2 51:6 53:15,22 63:15 6:3,5 7:14 8:3,5 10:9 substitutes 55:16 56:2,4,18,21 transparency wants taken 57:7 59:2,5,8 60:14 12:5 33:8 35:19,22 20:9 40:2 58:15 10:11 12:19 26:2,24 19:6 20:4.6 44:13 63:6,7 71:11 success 61:7,12 63:11,15,22 40:17 69:6 43:22 wasn't takes 33:23 40:4 64:17 65:1.9 66:3.4.8 undates transparent 67:20 45:21 successful 66:14,19 67:5 68:1,2 27:14 34:3 3:6 6:2 13:16 21:4 26:4 watch Talent Treating uphold 9:7 32:2 68:4.11 69:9 70:2 22:1 20:12 27:3 43:19 47:10 suggested thinking 7:17 63:12 watching 53:20 64:3,20 25:19 9:9 11:4,23 14:9 29:1 tremendously upholding 70:10 talk suggestions third 16:21 65:2 Watson 20:18 33:19 40:24 4:23 9:24 12:7 29:9,10 tried uplifts 2:22 43:19,21 44:18 47:10 32:21 37:12 45:4 51:20 suggests 7:15 way talked 17:6 27:15 31:19 42:3 10:17 46:22 true uses 15:6,21 21:1,23 22:13 Suite Thirteen 71:9 49:19 64:23 66:19 7:23 talking 5:22 35:4 truly usually 8:22 15:10,17 43:1 summarized thought 22:19 15:12 53:14 9:9 10:5 20:13 48:19 51:15 53:20 55:15,19 12:7 23:3 52:13 54:23 trust 53:19.24 56:16 thoughts 6:16,20 50:12 52:13 summary we'll 13:19 14:19 16:6 17:13 7:24 13:17 23:12 68:10 26:13 60:16 61:6 46:23 48:16 49:11 64:9 vacancies summer 20:17 22:8 69:15 70:3,12 try teacher 32:8 12:15 three 51:23 63:16 we're 13:8 18:4 21:17 34:23 vacancy superintendent 24:22 32:3,4 37:7 7:21 9:9 11:4,23 15:23 trying 20:23 teachers 39:10 42:9 43:4 47:3 12:4 17:9 24:14,15 51:15 54:6,12 55:17 17:8 23:7 24:7 34:22 11:7,17,19 17:22 23:20 valuable 56:7 62:13 64:5 59:20 60:16 68:7,17 40:1,11,15 46:1 supervisor 29:12 32:7,10 33:15 18:14 63:22 thrilled 47:19 48:22,22 49:9 62:15 Tuesday 35:5,8 36:7 37:8 value 49:10,15,17 51:13,14 supply 8.16 5:11 40:10 63:3 64:22 thrive turf 51:20 53:10 55:15,19 various team 56:21 59:20 60:13 3:21 7:21support 18:15 27:4 40:9 46:1 31:3 43:11 55:11 10:7 11:22 13:11 18:6 Thursday turn 63:8,11,14 64:22 46:16 61:20 65:8 varying 18:19.19.22 23:20 5:22 4:16 26:7 65:2.11.14 66:14.21 technical 7:4 8:19 29:13 33:15 35:5 tied turnover 67:5 69:4 70:16 67:10 versus 40:7 49:18 37:12,21 39:4 42:16 we've telling 9:22 15:16 26:16 48:20 49:5 supported time Twelve viability 42:12 8:11,20 19:9,20 24:24 49:6 50:14 51:20 34:2 13:3 ten 12:8 26:19 42:4 45:14 59:23 60:7 63:21 supporting Twenty-nine 5:3 35:10 view 30:20 51:12 53:15,22 54:7 34:21 64:6,10,12 terminology 22:22 63:9,10 70:9 supportive 70:20 web two 15:22 views 10:13 20:19 21:24 23:5 34:6 35:5,8 timeline terms 40:14 website supports 66:24 67:3 27:20 28:15 37:17 virtual 56:23 12:4 22:21,22 28:7 times 39:10 43:3 47:3 56:7 5:20 34:6,9 27:24 46:5 test 23:14 43:9 weekends 32:13 8:21 9:2,6 virtually today sure type 1:9 2:18.22.23 3:2 29:8 4:3 thank 21:7 31:16 46:7 50:14 2:12,21 6:11 8:14 54:3,11 welcome 3:3 4:18 8:1,2 12:17,18 visible 2:5 3:10.14 4:17 50:19 51:20 52:8.12 53:10 today's 18:8 20:11 22:7 types 16:11 40:12 53:17 60:23 62:2 34:7 51:11 welcoming 25:24 26:9.18 27:19 voice 63:12,23 65:2,14 tonight typically 44:10 31:21,22 47:18 49:20 18:18 23:23 60:4 63:24 2:16 3:4 26:12 47:18 66:21 69:14,16 28:23 51:10 53:13 62:3 well-being 57:5.18 60:9.18 voices 63:16,22 65:1 surrounding 62:12,14,19 30:21 65:14 70:5,8,14 24:8 60:8 64:18 68:22 West 16:2 tools volleyball thanks survey 32:19 IJ 2:11 47:15 52:24 70:18 4:4 15:2,11 27:23 28:8,10 whichever top theme ultimately vote 28:12 29:9,10,23,24 15:6 28:16 30:17,22 5:14 68:9 70:1 58:18 59:10 67:9 35:6,23 30:2 31:24 33:10 36:11 37:7 38:2.3.14 white themes undermine voted 36:1 39:23 41:5 39:10 31:11 48:6 59:13 6:23 42:13 47:21 50:21,22 tough widely therapist understand 53:6,13 59:10.19 21:22 21:20 40:12 56:5 70:10 24:23 19:13 W 63:7 64:7 68:14 widespread town thing understanding want



| | 1.0 | | | | ĺ |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|----------------|---|---|---|
| 57:24 | 12 | 29:7 41:16 | | | |
| willing | 34:15 | 7th | | | |
| 46:9 | 12th | 5:11 | | | |
| Wilson | 9:22 | | | | |
| 12:9 | 13 | 8 | | | |
| wish | 30:12 35:6 41:5 | 8 | | | |
| 42:6 | 14 | 1:6 | | | |
| wonderful | 33:21 | | | | |
| 6:9 16:22 19:2 | 149 | 800 | | | |
| | | 28:10 53:14 | | | |
| word | 45:13,21 | 8th | | | |
| 17:20 | 15 | 2:12 3:23 8:10 | | | |
| words | 33:4 | | | | |
| 3:12 | 16 | 9 | | | |
| work | 33:2 | | | | |
| 14:16 24:17 40:15 | 1st | 90 | | | |
| 54:12 | 67:19,20,22,22,23 | 55:13 | | | |
| worked | 07.17,20,22,22,23 | 95 | | | |
| | | 10:17 | | | |
| 46:17 48:6,9,14,14 | 2 | 950 | | | |
| 51:23 | 2 | 5:22 | | | |
| working | 5:15 | 9th | | | |
| 6:24 7:10,11,12 18:21 | 20 | 5:22 | | | |
| 20:12 22:23 33:16 | 10:19 29:15 30:11 | 3.22 | | | |
| 44:11 49:16 63:12 | 45:11 | | | | |
| 66:6 | 2023 | | | | |
| works | | | | | |
| 27:9 | 1:6 2:8,12 5:10,11,23 | | | | |
| worth | 21 | | | | |
| 22:24 63:22 | 45:16,21 46:11 | | | | |
| | 25 | | | | |
| wrap | 29:18 32:21 | | | | |
| 70:7 | | | | | |
| written | 3 | | | | |
| 5:19,20 | 30 | | | | |
| WWW.CPSBOE.ORG | | | | | |
| 2:11 4:21 5:21 | 45:11 55:13 | | | | |
| - | 32 | | | | |
| X | 41:6 | | | | |
| A | 36 | | | | |
| | 32:16 | | | | |
| Y | 38 | | | | |
| yeah | 32:5,11 | | | | |
| 13:18,22 14:7,9,16 | 3rd | | | | |
| 50:5 68:1,5 70:12 | 3:23 | | | | |
| year | 3.23 | | | | |
| 8:11 12:9,14 24:24 | 4 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| 64:4 | 40 | | | | |
| year's | 29:10 41:21 | | | | |
| 8:15 | 42 | | | | |
| years | 2:11 33:11,12 | | | | |
| 32:4 50:13 64:5,12 | 48 | | | | |
| yesterday | 2:8 | | | | |
| 17:18 18:10 | 2.0 | | | | |
| • | | | | | |
| Z | | | | | |
| | 5:00 | | | | |
| Zoom | 5:12,22 | | | | |
| 1:4,10 46:6 | 50,000 | | | | |
| | 38:12 39:9 | | | | |
| 0 | 504 | | | | |
| 084-004072 | 29:20 36:7 37:3 | | | | |
| 1:24 71:16 | | | | | |
| 1.21 /11.10 | 6 | | | | |
| 1 | | | | | |
| | 6 | | | | |
| 1 | 6:6 | | | | |
| 8:8 44:22 | 6:04 | | | | |
| 10 | 1:10 2:3 | | | | |
| 29:14 | 60 | | | | |
| 10:00 | 55:13 | | | | |
| 5:10 | 6th | | | | |
| 100 | 2:8 5:10 | | | | |
| | 2.0 3.10 | | | | |
| 5:13 | | | | | |
| 102 | 7 | | | | |
| 42:12 | 7 | | | | |
| 11 | 35:16 | | | | |
| 4:15 | 70 | | | | |
| | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| | | | | | |

